

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] Z. Pan, Z. Xie, T. Liu, and T. Xia, “Exploring the Key Factors Influencing College Students’ Willingness to Use AI Coding Assistant Tools: An Expanded Technology Acceptance Model,” *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 5, May 2024, doi: 10.3390/systems12050176.
- [2] “T. Dohmke, M. Iansiti, and G. Richards, ‘Sea Change in Software Development: Economic and Productivity Analysis of the AI-Powered Developer Lifecycle,’ arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15033, 2023.”.
- [3] “GitHub, ‘GitHub Copilot adoption statistics and enterprise usage,’ GitHub Report, 2025.”.
- [4] “GitHub, ‘The State of the Octoverse 2023: Global Developer Report’ GitHub, 2023.”.
- [5] R. I and D. B A, “Analisis penggunaan artificial intelligence dalam pembelajaran mahasiswa di perguruan tinggi,” *Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi*, vol. 5, no, 2025.
- [6] A. M. Dakhel, V. Majdinasab, A. Nikanjam, F. Khomh, M. Desmarais, and Z. Jiang, “GitHub Copilot AI pair programmer: Asset or Liability?,” *Journal of System and Software*, vol. 203, 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2023.111734>.
- [7] I. H. Shihab, C. Hundhausen, and B. W. Mulanda, *The Effects of GitHub Copilot on Computing Students ’ Programming Effectiveness , Efficiency , and Processes in Brownfield Coding Tasks*, vol. 1, no. 1. Association for Computing Machinery, 2025. doi: 10.1145/3702652.3744219.
- [8] R. Pandey, P. Singh, R. Wei, and S. Shankar, “Transforming Software Development : Evaluating the Efficiency and Challenges of GitHub Copilot in Real-World Projects,” 2024.
- [9] Y. Qiao and C. Hundhausen, *Comprehension-Performance Gap in GenAI-Assisted Brownfield Programming : A Replication and Extension*, vol. 1, no. 1. arXiv, 2018.
- [10] H. Pearce and B. Dolan-gavitt, “Asleep at the Keyboard ? Assessing the Security of GitHub Copilot ’ s Code Contributions,” 2021.
- [11] H. Tseng, X. Yi, and B. J. Cunningham, “Learning technology acceptance and continuance intention among business students: The mediating effects of confirmation, flow, and engagement.”

- [12] I. Youssef Alyoussef, A. Mohammed Drwish, F. Adel Albakheet, R. Hassan Alhajhoj, and A. Ahmed Al-Mousa, "AI Adoption for Collaboration: Factors Influencing Inclusive Learning Adoption in Higher Education," *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 81690–81713, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3567656.
- [13] H. Familiya *et al.*, "Terbit online pada laman web jurnal: <https://ejurnalunsam.id/index.php/jicom/> Evaluasi Penerimaan Chatgpt oleh Mahasiswa dalam Mendukung Perkuliahan Di Surabaya", [Online]. Available: <https://ejurnalunsam.id/index.php/jicom/>
- [14] N. T. Le, *Human-AI Collaboration in Programming: Analyzing the Acceptance of GitHub Copilot*.
- [15] Nian Khidr Aziz, "Technology acceptance of GitHub Copilot: A study among computing students," *JOURNAL OF COMPUTATIONAL INNOVATION AND ANALYTICS*, vol. Vol. 5, no. pp: 117-133, 2026.
- [16] E. Park, "Continuance use of AI coding assistants among South Korean Industry Developers: A survey case study with large language models," *Empir. Softw. Eng.*, vol. 30, no. 6, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10664-025-10730-1.
- [17] "F. D. Davis and A. Granić, *The Technology Acceptance Model: 30 Years of TAM*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland AG, 2024. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-45274-2."
- [18] Y. W. Kim, M. C. Cha, S. H. Yoon, and S. C. Lee, "Not Merely Useful but Also Amusing: Impact of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Enjoyment on the Adoption of AI-Powered Coding Assistant," *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 6210–6222, 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2024.2375701>.
- [19] "H. L. S. K. J. Kim, 'Perceived Enjoyment vs. Perceived Ease of Use in AI Adoption: Evidence from AI-Assisted Development Tools,' *Technol. Soc.*, vol. 73, p. 102213, 2023."
- [20] M. R. Suryawijaya, S. Praptodiyono, and S. N. A, "Peran Artificial Intelligence dalam Mengembangkan Kompetensi dan Meningkatkan Motivasi Belajar Mahasiswa," *Jurnal Informatik*, vol. 4221, pp. 155–165, 2025.
- [21] "S. Kelly, S.-A. Kaye, and O. Oviedo-Trespalacios, 'What factors contribute to the acceptance of artificial intelligence? A systematic review,' *Telematics and Informatics*, vol. 77, p. 101925, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.tele.2022.101925".

- [22] J. Wanner, L. Valentin, H. Kai, and H. Christian, “The effect of transparency and trust on intelligent system acceptance : Evidence from a user - based study,” *Electronic Markets*, no. 0123456789, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s12525-022-00593-5.
- [23] Z. Pan, Z. Xie, and T. Xia, “Exploring the Key Factors Influencing College Students ’ Willingness to Use AI Coding Assistant Tools : An Expanded,” 2024, doi: [https:// doi.org/10.3390/systems12050176](https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12050176).
- [24] “L. K. Á. Balassa, “Students’ Acceptance of Artificial Intelligence Systems: An Extended TAM Analysis,” *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 6, p. 16789, 2023.”.
- [25] “A. S. D. D. A. B. J. Kalliamvakou, ‘How Developers Use GitHub in Practice: A Study of Pull Requests, Issues, and Collaboration,’ *Empir. Softw. Eng.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 1194–1233, 2020”.
- [26] “M. C. R. Bhattacharjee, ‘Adoption of Artificial Intelligence in Software Development: A Risk–Trust Perspective,’ *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 192, p. 111430, 2022.”.
- [27] “S. A. H. S. A. Almarzouq, ‘Determinants of Artificial Intelligence Adoption in Higher Education: An Extended TAM Model,’ *Educ. Inf. Technol. (Dordr).*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 11657–11682, 2022.”.
- [28] “A. S. a. M. K. T. S. Wibowo, ‘GitHub Utilization in Software Engineering Learning: Evidence from Indonesian Higher Education,’ *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 92–99, 2022”.
- [29] “A. S. M. K. T. S. Wibowo, ‘GitHub Utilization in Software Engineering Learning: Evidence from Indonesian Higher Education,’ *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 92–99, 2022.”.
- [30] “S. H. P. J. Kim, ‘Fear of Overdependence on AI: Its Impact on User Intention and Learning Outcomes,’ *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 182, p. 104463, 2022.”.
- [31] “P. R. P. Y. Pratama, ‘Self-Efficacy and Intention to Use AI Tools among Computer Science Students in Indonesia,’ *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication, Computing, Electronics and Control)*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 987–995, 2023.”.
- [32] K. C. . Laudon and J. P. . Laudon, *Management information systems : managing the digital firm*. Pearson, 2022.
- [33] “Y. Arisandy, A. Harpepen, and A. Kurniawan, *Sistem informasi manajemen (teori dan implementasi dalam bisnis)*. Yogyakarta, Indonesia: Pustaka Pelajar, 2017.”.

- [34] Muhammad Rizky Anditama and Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, “Analysis of Factors Influencing the Adoption of Artificial Intelligence Assistants among Software Developers,” *The Indonesian Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 13, no. 4, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.33022/ijcs.v13i4.4239.
- [35] M. Alanazi, A. Li, H. Samra, and B. Soh, “Examining the Influence of AI on Python Programming Education: An Empirical Study and Analysis of Student Acceptance Through TAM3,” *Computers*, vol. 14, no. 10, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.3390/computers14100411.
- [36] F. Ali, A. Ahmed, M. A. Alipour, and H. Terashima-Marin, “Adoption of AI-coding assistants in programming education: exploring trust and learning motivation through an extended technology acceptance model,” *Journal of Computers in Education*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s40692-025-00375-w.
- [37] Y. W. Kim, M. C. Cha, S. H. Yoon, and S. C. Lee, “Not Merely Useful but Also Amusing: Impact of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Enjoyment on the Adoption of AI-Powered Coding Assistant,” *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 6210–6222, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2024.2375701.
- [38] Theresiawati *et al.*, “Analysis of factors influencing students’ adoption of generative AI as a programming learning resource,” *Interactive Learning Environments*, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10494820.2025.2546630.
- [39] J. Seah and M. R. Ridho, “PERANCANGAN SISTEM INFORMASI PERSEDIAAN SUKU CADANG UNTUK ALAT BERAT BERBASIS DESKTOP PADA CV BATAM JAYA Jonny,” *Jurnal Comasie*, vol. 02, 2020.
- [40] “T. Theresiawati et al., “Analysis of factors influencing students’ adoption of generative AI as a programming learning resource,” *Interactive Learning Environments*, pp. 1–18, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10494820.2025.2546630.”.
- [41] “I. Y. Alyoussef, A. M. Drwish, F. A. Albakheet, R. H. Alhajhoj, and A. A. Al-Mousa, ‘AI Adoption for Collaboration: Factors Influencing Inclusive Learning Adoption in Higher Education,’ *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 81690–81713, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025”.
- [42] “M. Nurtanto et al., ‘Determinants of behavioral intentions and their impact on student performance in the use of AI technology in higher education in Indonesia: A SEM-PLS analysis based on TPB, UTAUT, and TAM frameworks,’ *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*”.
- [43] A. T. Baharin, N. A. Sahadun, S. Ramli, and N. Azlin, “Exploring the Adoption of Generative Artificial Intelligence by TVET Students: A

UTAUT Analysis of Perceptions , Benefits , and Implementation Challenges,” vol. 10, 2025.

- [44] “R. Koteczki and B. E. Balassa, ‘Exploring Generation Z’s Acceptance of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A TAM and UTAUT-Based PLS-SEM and Cluster Analysis,’ *Educ. Sci. (Basel)*., vol. 15, no. 8, p. 1044, 2025, doi: 10.3390/educsci15081044.”.
- [45] C. Yee, K. Yip, and C. Seng, “Computers and Education : Artificial Intelligence Exploring the role of intrinsic motivation in ChatGPT adoption to support active learning : An extension of the technology acceptance model,” *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. October, p. 100178, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100178.
- [46] “G. Maheshwari, “Factors influencing students’ intention to adopt and use ChatGPT in higher education: A study in the Vietnamese context,” *Educ. Inf. Technol. (Dordr)*., vol. 29, pp. 12167–12195, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10639-023-12333-z.”.
- [47] J. J. Cambra-fierro and M. F. Blasco, “ChatGPT adoption and its influence on faculty well-being : An empirical research in higher education,” pp. 1517–1538, 2025.
- [48] “S. N. Hayati, A. F. Putri, and M. K. Rudiyanto, ‘Analisis penerimaan ChatGPT sebagai alat bantu pembelajaran menggunakan Technology Acceptance Model (TAM),’ *JITET (Jurnal Informatika dan Teknik Elektro Terapan)*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 605–612, 2025, doi: 10.2”.
- [49] “M. T. M. Putra, I. N. Rochmah, N. L. Sa’adah, and dan N. P. S. Sabar, ‘Analisis penerimaan teknologi kecerdasan buatan dalam pembelajaran pemrograman web: Pendekatan model penerimaan teknologi,’ *Jurnal Ilmiah Edutic: Pendidikan dan Informatika*, vol. 12, n”.
- [50] “H. F. A. Pracipta, A. Pratama, and A. Faroqi, ‘Evaluasi penerimaan ChatGPT oleh mahasiswa dalam mendukung perkuliahan di Surabaya,’ *J-ICOM (Jurnal Informatika dan Teknologi Komputer)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 24–30, 2025.”.
- [51] “A.-K. Kleine, I. Schaffernak, and E. Lerner, ‘Exploring predictors of AI chatbot usage intensity among students: Within- and between-person relationships based on the technology acceptance model,’ *Computers in Human Behavior: Artificial Humans*, no. 100113”.
- [52] “W. Wang and Y. Lu, “Survey on Chinese users’ acceptance of AI assistants: expanding technology acceptance model,” *Sci. Rep.*, no. 33535, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-18123-6.”.

- [53] K. Kanont *et al.*, “Generative-AI , a Learning Assistant ? Factors Influencing Higher-Ed Students ’ Technology Acceptance,” vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 18–33, 2024.
- [54] “M. Afzaal, A. Brashi, M. Younas, and D. A. S. El-Dakhs, ‘ChatGPT and intrinsic motivation in higher education: a TAM-based study,’ *Front. Educ. (Lausanne)*., no. 1674141, 2025, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2025.1674141.”.
- [55] “B. Karan and C. Chakma, “Influence of higher education students’ perceived behaviour on their artificial intelligence acceptance: an empirical investigation using technology acceptance model,” *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 2025, doi: 10”.
- [56] L. Giray *et al.*, “Acceptance of and Satisfaction with ChatGPT as Learning Tool in Higher Education Through the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM): A Case from the Philippines Acceptance of and Satisfaction with ChatGPT as,” *Internet Reference Services Quarterly*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–20, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10875301.2025.2542212.
- [57] “J. J. Cambra-Fierro, M. Fuentes-Blasco, M.-E. E. López-Pérez, and A. Trifu, ‘ChatGPT adoption and its influence on faculty well-being: An empirical research in higher education,’ *Educ. Inf. Technol. (Dordr)*., vol. 30, pp. 1517–1538, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10”.
- [58] “B. F. Kurniawan, N. L. G. P. Suwiryanti, N. K. D. F. P. Putri, I. G. K. Timotious, I. G. P. H. Bangsawan, and I. M. D. A. Putra, ‘Analisis pendayagunaan artificial intelligence dalam meningkatkan efisiensi proses pembelajaran di era digital,’ *Jurnal Nasio*”.
- [59] M. García and D. B. Sebastián, “Application and extension of the UTAUT model for determining behavioral intention factors in use of the artificial intelligence virtual assistants,” *Front. Psychol.*, 2022.
- [60] “N. A. Dahri *et al.*, ‘Extended TAM based acceptance of AI-Powered ChatGPT for supporting metacognitive self-regulated learning in education: A mixed-methods study,’ *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. 29317, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29317.”.
- [61] S. B. G. C, P. Bhandari, and S. Kumar, “Examining the role of social influence , learning value and habit on students ’ intention to use ChatGPT : the moderating effect of information accuracy in the UTAUT2 model,” *Cogent Education*, vol. 11, no. 1, p., 2024, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2024.2403287.

- [62] “M. H. S. K. R. Ali, “Exploring Students’ Acceptance of AI-Based Programming Assistants in Computing Education,” *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2023.”.
- [63] A. Ziegler *et al.*, *Productivity Assessment of Neural Code Completion*, vol. 1, no. 1. Association for Computing Machinery. doi: 10.1145/3520312.3534864.
- [64] B. Zhang, P. Liang, X. Zhou, A. Ahmad, and M. Waseem, “Demystifying Practices, Challenges and Expected Features of Using GitHub Copilot,” Sep. 2023, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.05687>
- [65] “P. Suryavanshi, M. Kapse, and V. Sharma, ‘Integrating ChatGPT into Software Development: Valuating Acceptance and Utilisation Among Developers,’ *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 97–117, 2025, doi: 10.14453/aabfj.v”.
- [66] F. D. Davis and A. Granić, “SpringerBriefs in Human-Computer Interaction The Technology Acceptance Model 30 Years of TAM.”
- [67] T. S. Slamet, M. Akil, and H. Umar, “International Journal of Strategic Information Systems and Knowledge Applications International Journal of Strategic Information Systems and Knowledge Applications Critical Review of the Technology Acceptance Model in Information Systems Research,” *Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 42–51, 2025, doi: 10.56861/jsiska.v1i1.
- [68] J. L. Duan, G. C. Fei, T. O. Kowang, A. Ashaari, and H. Mohd Yusof, “Understanding Digital Technology Adoption: A Review of the Technology Acceptance Model,” *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 2, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.6007/IJARBSS/v16-i2/27685.
- [69] “I. K. Swarjana, *Populasi-Sampel Teknik Sampling & Bias dalam Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: CV Andi Offset, 2022.”.
- [70] “C. P. Susanto, A. L. Y. Ulfah, S. Josua, and Nuraeni, ‘Konsep Penelitian Kuantitatif: Populasi, Sampel, dan Analisis Data (Sebuah Tinjauan Pustaka),’ *Jurnal Ilmu Multidisplin*, pp. 1–12, 2024.”.
- [71] “P. Subhaktiyasa, ‘Menentukan Populasi dan Sampel: Pendekatan Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif,’ in *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan*, 2024, pp. 2721–2731.”.
- [72] “F. Dede and Dede, ‘Teknik Pengambilan Sampel Umum dalam Metodologi,’ in *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Holistik (JIPH)*, 2022.”.

- [73] “U. Ramadani, M. Raudhotul, U. Nisa, W. Azzah, H. Rully, and Harmonedi, Strategi Penentuan Populasi dan Sampel dalam Penelitian Pendidikan: Antara Validitas dan Representativitas. QOSIM: Jurnal Pendidikan, 2022”.
- [74] J. Hair and A. Alamer, “Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example,” *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, vol. 1, no. 3, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.rmal.2022.100027.
- [75] L. Sumarah Pratignyo, “Enhancing Parameter Precision in PLS-SEM through Methodological Refinement,” 2026. [Online]. Available: <http://jiss.publikasiindonesia.id/>
- [76] Arunraju Chinnaraju, “Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) in the AI Era: Innovative methodological guide and framework for business research,” *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 062–108, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.30574/msarr.2025.13.2.0048.
- [77] W. Reinartz, M. Haenlein, and J. Henseler, “An empirical comparison of the efficacy of covariance-based and variance-based SEM,” *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 332–344, Dec. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.ijresmar.2009.08.001.
- [78] G. Shmueli *et al.*, “Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict,” *Eur. J. Mark.*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 2322–2347, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1108/EJM-02-2019-0189.
- [79] H. Choung, P. David, and A. Ross, “Trust in AI and Its Role in the Acceptance of AI Technologies,” *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1727–1739, 2023, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2022.2050543.
- [80] B. Kim and D. Kim, “Understanding the Role of Trust, Perceived Risk, and Habit in Organization Members’ Generative AI Use,” *Sage Open*, vol. 16, no. 1, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1177/21582440251410620.
- [81] X. Zhu, A. Zhang, and J. Zhang, “The Double-Edged Sword of AI Efficiency: Self-Efficacy Erosion as a Mediator Linking Instant Gratification and Perceived AI Efficacy to AI Dependency,” *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 4, Apr. 2026, doi: 10.3390/bs16040530.
- [82] Y. Lan, S. Liu, H. Chen, and L. Xia, “Configurational effects of personal innovativeness, self-efficacy, and perceived risk on AI adoption in media students,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 16, no. 1, Dec. 2026, doi: 10.1038/s41598-026-36538-7.
- [83] A. S. Almogren, W. M. Al-Rahmi, and N. A. Dahri, “Exploring factors influencing the acceptance of ChatGPT in higher education: A smart

- education perspective,” *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 11, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e31887.
- [84] W. X. Hu, C. Y. Gao, Y. X. Qin, and H. Y. Guo, “Factors shaping willingness to adopt generative artificial intelligence tools among university students: a TAM-based investigation,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 17, Jun. 2026, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1831505.
- [85] N. Suryani, Ms. Jailani, N. Suriani, R. Raden Mattaher Jambi, and U. Sulthan Thaha Saifuddin Jambi, “Konsep Populasi dan Sampling Serta Pemilihan Partisipan Ditinjau Dari Penelitian Ilmiah Pendidikan.” [Online]. Available: <http://ejournal.yayasanpendidikandzurriyatulquran.id/index.php/ihsan>
- [86] S. K. . Lwanga and S. . Lemeshow, *Sample size determination in health studies : a practical manual*. World Health Organisation, 1991.
- [87] D. Firmansyah, S. Pasim Sukabumi, and S. Al Fath Sukabumi, “Teknik Pengambilan Sampel Umum dalam Metodologi Penelitian: Literature Review,” *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Holistik (JIPH)*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 85–114, doi: 10.55927.
- [88] S. Campbell *et al.*, “Purposive sampling: complex or simple? Research case examples,” *Journal of Research in Nursing*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 652–661, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1177/1744987120927206.
- [89] S. J. Stratton, “Purposeful Sampling: Advantages and Pitfalls,” Apr. 22, 2024, *Cambridge University Press*. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X24000281.
- [90] “M. A. Memon, ‘Purposive sampling: A review and guidelines for quantitative research,’ *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 2025.”.
- [91] E. Krisnawati, K. D. Artanti, and N. H. Umar, “Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Instrumen Penelitian Dukungan Suami terhadap Hambatan Penggunaan Metode Kontrasepsi Jangka Panjang pada Multipara Akseptor Aktif di Surabaya,” *Media Gizi Kesmas*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 659–664, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.20473/mgk.v13i2.2024.659-664.
- [92] M. Amirrudin, K. Nasution, and S. Supahar, “Effect of Variability on Cronbach Alpha Reliability in Research Practice,” *Jurnal Matematika, Statistika dan Komputasi*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 223–230, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.20956/jmsk.v17i2.11655.
- [93] S. C. Izah, L. Sylva, and M. Hait, “Cronbach’s Alpha: A Cornerstone in Ensuring Reliability and Validity in Environmental Health Assessment,” Mar. 01, 2024, *Engineered Science Publisher*. doi: 10.30919/esee1057.

- [94] L. D. Martias, "STATISTIKA DESKRIPTIF SEBAGAI KUMPULAN INFORMASI," *Fihris: Jurnal Ilmu Perpustakaan dan Informasi*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 40, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.14421/fhrs.2021.161.40-59.
- [95] K. Statistika Inferensial, H. dan Pengujian Hipotesis, T. Signifikansi Sulia Fitriani, N. Salsabila Br Manurung, D. Sri Anggraini, and H. Saputra Panggabean, "Aurelia: Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat Indonesia," *Sulia Fitriani*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2025.
- [96] H. Setiabudhi *et al.*, "Analisis Data."
- [97] J. F. . Hair, G. T. M. . Hult, C. M. . Ringle, and Marko. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage, 2017.
- [98] S. M. Rasoolimanesh, "Discriminant validity assessment in PLS-SEM: A comprehensive composite-based approach," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scriptwarp.com>,
- [99] C. M. Ringle, M. Sarstedt, N. Sinkovics, and R. R. Sinkovics, "A perspective on using partial least squares structural equation modelling in data articles," *Data Brief*, vol. 48, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2023.109074.
- [100] F. Uludağ, E. Kılıç, and H. E. Çelik, "Artificial intelligence, social influence, and AI anxiety: analyzing the intentions of science doctoral students to use ChatGPT with PLS-SEM," *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 1, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1057/s41599-025-05641-x.
- [101] S. Imai, "Is GitHub copilot a substitute for human pair-programming?," Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), May 2022, pp. 319–321. doi: 10.1145/3510454.3522684.
- [102] E. Margatama, G. Yudha Saputra, and C. Aloyshima Haris, "Impact of Ease of Use, Usefulness, Attitude, and Trust on AI Adoption Intentions in Higher Education," vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2026, doi: 10.51967/tepiant.v7i1.3485.
- [103] S. Gu and J. Zhang, "Ethical concerns toward medical artificial intelligence and acceptance intentions: a structural equation modeling analysis of the risk perception–trust pathway," *Front. Public Health*, vol. 14, Aug. 2026, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2026.1858239.
- [104] A. M. Dakhel *et al.*, "GitHub Copilot AI pair programmer: Asset or Liability?," Apr. 2023, [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.15331>
- [105] G. Gonzales, D. K. Hallarte, M. L. Milano, S. J. Pasaje, M. G. Villaflor, and R. Gonzales, "Risk, value, and engagement in GenAI use for research: A PLS-SEM and IPMA perspective on technology acceptance," *Social*

Sciences and Humanities Open, vol. 13, Jun. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2026.102921.

- [106] P. J. Schulz *et al.*, “Clinical experience and perception of risk affect the acceptance and trust of using AI in medicine,” *Front. Digit. Health*, vol. 7, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2025.1620127.
- [107] R. Sustaningrum and M. Haldaka, “Student utilization and perceptions of AI technology for academic purposes: a mixed-method analysis,” *Cogent Education*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2025.2553835.
- [108] H. E. Hernandez *et al.*, “Trust, Usefulness, and Dependency on AI in Programming: A Hierarchical Clustering Approach,” in *2025 7th International Conference on Computer Science and Technologies in Education, CSTE 2025*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2025, pp. 30–34. doi: 10.1109/CSTE64638.2025.11091837.
- [109] Y. Liu and Y. Liu, “Association between perceived usefulness of generative AI for learning and generative AI dependency among university students in Changde, China: a cross-sectional study of statistical indirect effects,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 17, May 2026, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1859317.
- [110] S. Abubakar, A. Jeilani, and M. Yusuf, “The role of over-reliance on AI in the negative consequences of student learning: The moderating effects of ethical concerns and institutional policies,” *Cogent Education*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2025.2591503.
- [111] S. Zhang, X. Zhao, T. Zhou, and J. H. Kim, “Do you have AI dependency? The roles of academic self-efficacy, academic stress, and performance expectations on problematic AI usage behavior,” *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 21, no. 1, May 2024, doi: 10.1186/s41239-024-00467-0.
- [112] H. Shao and R. Wang, “Generative AI an academic equalizer? The differential impact of AI-assisted learning on self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation among university students,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 17, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1779741.
- [113] S. C. Kong, Y. Yang, and C. Hou, “Examining teachers’ behavioural intention of using generative artificial intelligence tools for teaching and learning based on the extended technology acceptance model,” *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 7, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100328.
- [114] Z. Zhao, Q. An, and J. Liu, “Exploring AI tool adoption in higher education: evidence from a PLS-SEM model integrating multimodal literacy, self-

efficacy, and university support,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 16, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1619391.

- [115] O. S. Falebita, J. A. Abah, A. A. Asanre, T. O. Abiodun, M. A. Ayanwale, and O. K. Ayanwoye, “Determinants of Chatbot Brand Trust in the Adoption of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education,” *Educ. Sci. (Basel)*., vol. 15, no. 10, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.3390/educsci15101389.