

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] T. Aidynov, N. Goranin, D. Satybaldina, and A. Nurusheva, “A Systematic Literature Review of Current Trends in Electronic Voting System Protection Using Modern Cryptography,” vol. 14, no. 7, p. 2742, 2024.
- [2] Y.-S. Ihm and S.-H. Kim, “Development of a Blockchain-Based Online Secret Electronic Voting System,” vol. E105-D, no. 8, pp. 1361–1372, 2022.
- [3] Y. X. Kho, S. H. Heng, S. Y. Tan, and J. J. Chin, “A provably secure coercion-resistant e-voting scheme with confidentiality, anonymity, unforgeability, and CAI verifiability,” PLoS One, vol. 20, no. 6 JUNE, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0324182.
- [4] S. Risnanto, O. Mohd, N. Hafeizah, N. Mardiana, A. Abdurrohman, and H. Hersusetiyati, “Constructing and Optimizing an Evaluation Model for the Implementation of Electronic Voting: An Indonesian Case Study,” vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1401–1408, 2023.
- [5] F. Rahmanto, A. Nurmandi, D. S. K. Dewi, and Salahudin, “Stakeholder Trust in the Use of E-Voting: Organizing the Election of Simultaneous Village Heads in Sleman Regency 2020,” vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 32–52, 2021.
- [6] R. Samihardjo, Murnawan, and S. Lestari, “E-Voting in Indonesia Election: Challenges and Opportunities,” vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 194–204, 2021.
- [7] M. Sadam, F. A. Araafi, and Y. Arafah, “Demokrasi dan Transformasi Digital: Analisis Digitalisasi Politik melalui Penerapan E-Voting dalam Meningkatkan Mutu Demokrasi,” vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 218–235, 2024.
- [8] T. F. Sari and T. Sulaksono, “E-Voting Inclusivity: The Accessibility of Elderly Voters in the 2021 Village Head Election in Sleman Regency,” vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 146–159, 2024.
- [9] H. O. Ohize, “Blockchain for Securing Electronic Voting Systems: A Survey of Architectures, Trends, Solutions, and Challenges,” 2024.

- [10] M. Pawlak and A. Poniszewska-Marańda, "Trends in blockchain-based electronic voting systems," *Inf. Process. Manag.*, vol. 58, no. 4, p. 102595, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2021.102595>.
- [11] B. Romanov, D. D. Cid, and P. Leets, "State versus Technology: What drives trust in and usage of internet voting, institutional or technological trust?," *Gov. Inf. Q.*, vol. 42, no. 4, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2025.102068.
- [12] C. A. Hoffner and B. J. Bond, "Parasocial relationships, social media, & well-being," vol. 45, p. 101306, 2022.
- [13] Z. Ma, L. Song, J. Zhou, W. Chong, and W. Xiong, "How Do Comeback Korean Pop Performers Acquire Audience Empathetic Attachment and Sustained Loyalty? Parasocial Interactions Through Live Stream Shows," vol. 13, p. 865698, 2022.
- [14] C. J. E. N. M. M. Plus, "Mnet Plus," 2025, Google Play. [Online]. Available: <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=world.mnetplus>
- [15] L. Stocchi, N. Pourazad, N. Michaelidou, A. Tanusondjaja, and P. Harrigan, "Marketing research on mobile apps: past, present and future," vol. 50, pp. 195–225, 2022.
- [16] M. G. E. J. Batista and Ø. Helgesen, "The combinatory role of online ratings and reviews in mobile app downloads: an empirical investigation of gaming and productivity apps from their initial app store launch," vol. 10, pp. 267–286, 2022.
- [17] L. Rodriguez-Villegas, A. García-Gómez, A. Muñoz-Santos, and J. Torous, "User experience, engagement, and popularity in mental health apps: an exploratory study of app store data and expert ratings," vol. 9, no. 1, 2022.
- [18] Y. Kim, Q. Wang, and T. Roh, "Do information and service quality affect perceived privacy protection, satisfaction, and loyalty? Evidence from a Chinese O2O-based mobile shopping application," *Telematics and Informatics*, vol. 56, p. 101483, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.TELE.2020.101483.

- [19] “K-pop television show producers admit rigging votes,” ABC News, Dec. 05, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://abcnews.go.com/International/poptelelevision-show-producers-admit-rigging-votes/story?id=67484885>
- [20] Y. So-yeon, “Seoul court fines executives behind ‘Produce 101’ vote rigging,” Korea JoongAng Daily, Jan. 22, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://koreajoongangdaily.joins.com/2021/01/22/entertainment/television/Produce-101-Mnet-MBK/20210122140500618.html>
- [21] K. Dong-hoon, “CJ ENM faces backlash for rehiring controversial ‘Produce 101’ director,” The Korea Times, Apr. 06, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.koreatimes.co.kr/www/art/2024/10/732_348556.html
- [22] N. Berlinski et al., “The Effects of Unsubstantiated Claims of Voter Fraud on Confidence in Elections,” *Journal of Experimental Political Science*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 34–49, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1017/XPS.2021.18.
- [23] M. Higashijima, H. Kadoya, and Y. Yanai, “The Dynamics of Electoral Manipulation and Institutional Trust in Democracies: Election Timing, Blatant Fraud, and the Legitimacy of Governance,” *Public Opin. Q.*, vol. 88, no. SI, pp. 472–494, 2024, doi: 10.1093/poq/nfae022.
- [24] H. Safitri and P. W. Widiarti, “Persepsi Khalayak Pada Program Competition Show Televisi Mnet Pasca Manipulasi Voting Produce X 101,” vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 19–27, 2021.
- [25] C. Luo, N. A. M. Hasan, and A. M. Zamri bin Ahmad, “Exploring Satisfaction and Trust as Key Drivers of e-Government Continuance Intention: Evidence from China for Sustainable Digital Governance,” *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 16, no. 24, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.3390/su162411068.
- [26] D. Kala, D. S. Chaubey, R. K. Meet, and A. S. Al-Adwan, “IMPACT OF USER SATISFACTION WITH E-GOVERNMENT SERVICES ON CONTINUANCE USE INTENTION AND CITIZEN TRUST USING TAM-ISSM FRAMEWORK,” *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, vol. 19, 2024, doi: 10.28945/5248.

- [27] A. Jeyaraj, “DeLone and McLean models of information system success: A critical meta-review and research directions,” vol. 54, p. 102139, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102139.
- [28] A. Rahman and P. R. A. Pangendra, “Assessing factors influencing people’s intention to continuously use e-government systems,” vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 169–177, 2022, doi: 10.20885/jaai.vol26.iss2.art6.
- [29] M. Alruwaie, R. El-Haddadeh, and V. Weerakkody, “Citizens’ continuous use of eGovernment services: The role of self-efficacy, outcome expectations and satisfaction,” vol. 37, no. 3, p. 101485, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2020.101485.
- [30] H. Elmunsyah, A. Nafalski, A. P. Wibawa, and F. A. Dwiyanto, “Understanding the impact of a learning management system using a novel modified DeLone and McLean model,” vol. 13, no. 3, p. Wibawa, 2023, doi: 10.3390/educsci13030235.
- [31] T. Rinta-Kahila, E. Penttinen, and K. Lyytinen, “Getting trapped in technical debt: Sociotechnical analysis of a legacy system’s replacement,” vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1–32, 2023.
- [32] A. Thomas, “Digitally transforming the organization through knowledge management: A socio-technical system (STS) perspective,” vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 437–460, 2023.
- [33] C. Liang, X. Wang, D. Gu, P. Li, H. Chen, and Z. Xu, “Smart management information systems (SMIS): Concept, evolution, research hotspots and applications,” vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 857–884, 2023.
- [34] A. N. Hidayanto, H. Fitriani, A. A. Khairunnisa, K. Aurelya, M. D. Kusumo, and Steven., “Political parasocial relationships on social media: The role of user experiences and emotions in shaping eWOM and voting intention,” 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.teler.2025.10027.
- [35] S. Youn and S.-A. Jin, “‘In A.I. we trust?’ The effects of parasocial interaction and technopian versus luddite ideological views on chatbot-based customer relationship management in the emerging ‘feeling economy’,” vol. 119, p. 106721, 2021.

- [36] I. Darmawan, “E-voting adoption in many countries: A literature review,” vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 482–504, 2021, doi: 10.1177/20578911211040584.
- [37] N. Ahmad, N. Tabassum, S. Ali, F. Ullah, M. Ayaz, and N. Bashir, “Electronic voting system: Nature, origin and its global application,” vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1333–1348, 2021.
- [38] D. Stockemer and M. Wigginton, “The (complex) effect of internet voting on turnout: Theoretical and methodological considerations,” vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 3–24, 2024, doi: 10.1002/poi3.383.
- [39] H. Chalabi, H. Mohamed, and W. J. Al-Nidawi, “E-voting systems adoption by voters in developing countries: A literature review and analysis,” vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 3514–3523, 2025.
- [40] P. Grontas and A. Pagourtzis, “Anonymity and everlasting privacy in electronic voting,” vol. 22, pp. 819–832, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10207-023-00666-2.
- [41] T. Fitzpatrick and P. Jöst, “The High Mass of Democracy: Why Germany remains aloof to the idea of electronic voting,” vol. 4, p. J, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpos.2022.1008702.
- [42] M. Dečman and E. Kozel, “Examining the impacts of technology and trust on i-voting acceptance in the COVID-19 aftermath,” vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 2023, doi: 10.4018/IJEGR.327454.
- [43] C. Sindermann, D. Rozgonjuk, M. Solvak, A. Realo, and K. Vassil, “Internet voting: The role of personality traits and trust across three parliamentary elections in Estonia,” vol. 42, pp. 26555–26569, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s12144-022-03644-4.
- [44] E. Kozel and M. Dečman, “The impact of trust in government – young voters’ behavioral intention to use i-voting in Slovenia,” vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 61–87, 2022, doi: 10.2478/nispa-2022-0004.
- [45] P. Ehin, M. Solvak, J. Willemson, and P. Vinkel, “Internet voting in Estonia 2005–2019: Evidence from eleven elections,” vol. 39, no. 4, p. Ehin, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2022.101718.

- [46] C. Z. Acemyan, P. Kortum, and F. L. Oswald, "The trust in voting systems TVS measure," vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 2022.
- [47] J. Saveljeva and T. Volkova, "A survey on digital trust towards a validated definition," vol. 5, no. 2, p. 14, 2025.
- [48] S. Reiners and J. Gersch, "What drives individuals trusting intention in digital platforms an exploratory meta analysis," 2024.
- [49] V. Osmanaj, S. F. H. Zaidi, A. Kulakli, and M. Harizaj, "Unveiling key drivers of citizens acceptance of e voting a quantitative analysis," vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 777–789, 2025.
- [50] A. Hooda, P. Gupta, A. Jeyaraj, M. Giannakis, and Y. K. Dwivedi, "The effects of trust on behavioral intention and use behavior within public digital service contexts," vol. 67, p. Gupta, 2022.
- [51] Y. K. Dwivedi, "Trust, risk, privacy and security in public digital service use insights from a MASEM analysis," 2024.
- [52] A. Kanaan, A. Al Hawamleh, A. Abulfaraj, H. M. Al Kaseasbeh, and A. Alorfi, "The effect of quality, security and privacy factors on trust and intention to use online public services," vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 185–198, 2023.
- [53] V. Mittal, "Customer satisfaction, loyalty behaviors, and firm financial performance: what 40 years of research tells us," vol. 34, pp. 171–187, 2023.
- [54] G. Witjaksono and N. Silva, "Analysis of user satisfaction with the Mnet Plus application using the End-User Computing Satisfaction method," vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 272–283, 2025.
- [55] Y. Li and J. Wang, "Evaluating the impact of information system quality on continuance intention toward cloud financial information system," vol. 12, p. 713353, 2021.
- [56] A. J. Desmal, S. Hamid, M. K. Othman, and A. Zolait, "A user satisfaction model for mobile government services: a literature review," vol. 8, p. e1074, 2022.

- [57] M. A. Amin, A. M. Muzareba, I. U. Chowdhury, and M. Khondkar, "Understanding e-satisfaction, continuance intention, and e-loyalty toward mobile payment application during COVID-19: an investigation using the electronic technology continuance model," vol. 29, pp. 318–340, 2023.
- [58] K. Yoon and J. Chun, "Factors affecting perceived value and continuous use intention of OTT platform-based video content services," vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 488–500, 2023.
- [59] S. Moussawi, M. Koufaris, and R. Benbunan-Fich, "The role of user perceptions of intelligence, anthropomorphism, and self-extension on continuance of use of personal intelligent agents," pp. 1–22, 2022.
- [60] C. J. E. N. M, "CJ ENM's K-POP content platform 'Mnet Plus' surpasses 20 million MAU, with its unique offering of fan-interactive experiences," 2025.
- [61] "CJ ENM's K-POP content platform 'Mnet Plus' surpasses 20 million MAU," 2025.
- [62] P. Kyung-min, "Mnet Plus turns K-pop fans into stakeholders, 40 mil. users already on board," p. Kyung, 2025.
- [63] "Mnet Plus: revolutionizing K-pop with 'BOYS II PLANET' and global fan engagement," 2025.
- [64] "Boys II Planet announces final debut team via global voting tonight," 2025.
- [65] W. H. DeLone and E. R. McLean, "The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success a ten year update," vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 9–30, 2003.
- [66] M. Yan, R. Filieri, and M. Gorton, "Continuance intention of online technologies a systematic literature review," vol. 57, p. 102415, 2021.
- [67] T. Bøe, B. Gulbrandsen, and T. R. Eikebrokk, "Information systems continuance the role of incentives and goal harmony," vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 335–355, 2021.
- [68] C. S. Kuo, Y. Kang, and H. L. Yang, "Investigating the determinants of continuance intention on cloud ERP systems adoption," vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1–9, 2023.

- [69] X. Jia, Y. Pang, B. Huang, and F. Hou, “Understanding consumers continuance intention to watch streams a value based continuance intention model,” vol. 14, p. 1073301, 2023.
- [70] M. J. Pour, J. Mesrabadi, and M. Asarian, “Meta analysis of the DeLone and McLean models in e learning success the moderating role of user type,” vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 590–615, 2022.
- [71] N. P. Pushparaj, V. J. Sivakumar, M. Natarajan, and A. Bhuvaneskumar, “Two decades of DeLone and McLean IS success model a scientometrics analysis,” 2022.
- [72] A. Varshosaz, J. Varajão, and N. Takagi, “DeLone and McLean information systems success model a literature review,” vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 452–481, 2021.
- [73] K. Çelik and A. Ayaz, “Validation of the DeLone and McLean information systems success model a study on student information system,” vol. 27, pp. 4709–4727, 2022.
- [74] A. Bhattacharjee, “Understanding information systems continuance an expectation confirmation model,” vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 351–370, 2001.
- [75] M. A. Memon, H. Ting, T. Cheah, T. H. Thurasamy, and F. H. Chuah, “Partial least squares structural equation modeling using SmartPLS a software review,” vol. 5, no. 1, 2021.
- [76] M. Angelelli, E. Ciavolino, C. M. Ringle, M. Sarstedt, and M. Aria, “Conceptual structure and thematic evolution in partial least squares structural equation modeling research,” vol. 59, pp. 2753–2798, 2025.
- [77] F. Schuberth, S. Zaza, and J. Henseler, “Partial least squares is an estimator for structural equation models a comment on Evermann and Rönkkö (2021),” vol. 52, pp. 711–729, 2023.
- [78] M. Kante and B. Michel, “Use of partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM) in privacy and disclosure research on social network sites a systematic review,” vol. 4, p. 100291, 2023.

- [79] A. Alharbi and O. Sohaib, "Technology readiness and cryptocurrency adoption PLS-SEM and deep learning neural network analysis," vol. 9, pp. 21388–21394, 2021.
- [80] A. Bayaga and M. Kyobe, "PLS-SEM technique and phases of analysis implications for information systems' exploratory design researchers," 2021, pp. 46–51.
- [81] J.-H. Cheah, F. Magno, and F. Cassia, "Reviewing the SmartPLS 4 software the latest features and enhancements," vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 97–107, 2023.
- [82] M. Sarstedt, N. F. Richter, S. Hauff, and C. M. Ringle, "Combined importance–performance map analysis (cIPMA) in partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS–SEM) a SmartPLS 4 tutorial," vol. 12, pp. 746–760, 2024.
- [83] S. Vaithilingam, C. S. Ong, O. I. Moisesescu, and M. S. Nair, "Robustness checks in PLS-SEM a review of recent practices and recommendations for future applications in business research," vol. 173, p. 114465, 2024.
- [84] F. Magno, F. Cassia, and C. M. Ringle, "A brief review of partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) use in quality management studies," vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 1242–1251, 2024.
- [85] A. Mushofa, D. Hermina, and N. Huda, "Memahami Populasi dan Sampel: Pilar Utama dalam Penelitian Kuantitatif," vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 5938–5945, 2024.
- [86] A. Asrulla, R. Risnita, M. S. Jailani, and F. Jeka, "Populasi dan Sampling (Kuantitatif), Serta Pemilihan Informan Kunci (Kualitatif) dalam Pendekatan Praktis," vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 26320–26332, 2023.
- [87] P. G. Subhaktiyasa, "Menentukan Populasi dan Sampel: Pendekatan Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif," vol. 9, no. 4, p. G, 2024.
- [88] S. K. Ahmed, "Research Methodology Simplified: How to Choose the Right Sampling Technique and Determine the Appropriate Sample Size for Research," vol. 12, p. 100662, 2024.

- [89] S. J. Stratton, "Population Sampling: Probability and Non-Probability Techniques," vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 147–148, 2023.
- [90] F. Nyimbili and L. Nyimbili, "Types of Purposive Sampling Techniques with Their Examples and Application in Qualitative Research Studies," vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 90–99, 2024.
- [91] S. J. Stratton, "Purposeful Sampling: Advantages and Pitfalls," vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 121–122, 2024.
- [92] M. Ahmad and S. Wilkins, "Purposive Sampling in Qualitative Research: A Framework for the Entire Journey," 2024.
- [93] K. Czernek-Marszałek and S. McCabe, "Sampling in Qualitative Interview Research: Criteria, Considerations and Guidelines for Success,."
- [94] M. T. Kalkbrenner, "A practical guide to instrument development and score validation in the social sciences: The MEASURE approach," vol. 26, no. 1, p. 1, 2021.
- [95] B. Tervo-Clemmens, F. J. Calabro, A. C. Parr, J. Fedor, W. Foran, and B. Luna, "A canonical trajectory of executive function maturation from adolescence to adulthood," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 14, no. 1, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-42540-8.
- [96] I. Kusmaryono, D. Wijayanti, and H. R. Maharani, "Number of response options, reliability, validity, and potential bias in the use of the Likert scale education and social science research: A literature review," vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 625–637, 2022, doi: 10.12973/ijem.8.4.625.
- [97] A. T. Jebb, V. Ng, and L. Tay, "A review of key Likert scale development advances: 1995–2019," vol. 12, p. 637547, 2021, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.637547.
- [98] M. Sarstedt, J. F. Hair, and C. M. Ringle, "'PLS-SEM: indeed a silver bullet' retrospective observations and recent advances," vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 261–275, 2022, doi: 10.1080/10696679.2022.2056488.

- [99] M. Sarstedt, J. F. Hair, M. Pick, B. D. Lienggaard, L. Radomir, and C. M. Ringle, "Progress in partial least squares structural equation modeling use in marketing research in the last decade," vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1035–1064, 2022, doi: 10.1002/mar.21640.
- [100] J. F. Hair and A. Alamer, "Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example," vol. 1, no. 3, p. 100027, 2022.
- [101] G. W. Cheung, H. D. Cooper-Thomas, R. S. Lau, and L. C. Wang, "Reporting reliability, convergent and discriminant validity with structural equation modeling: A review and best-practice recommendations," *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, vol. 41, pp. 745–783, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10490-023-09871-y.
- [102] P. G. Subhaktiyasa, "PLS-SEM for Multivariate Analysis: A Practical Guide to Educational Research Using SmartPLS," *EduLine: Journal of Education and Learning Innovation*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 353–365, 2024, doi: 10.35877/454RI.eduline2861.
- [103] R. Fadrial, Sujianto, H. T. R. F. Simanjuntak, W. Wirman, and W. S. Wibowo, "Fostering trust through bytes: Unravelling the impact of e-government on public trust in Indonesian local government," *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, vol. 19, Article 15, 2024, doi: 10.28945/5317.
- [104] M. A. K. Maktub, P. W. Handayani, and F. P. Sunarso, "Citizen acceptance and use of the Jakarta Kini (JAKI) e-government: Extended unified model for electronic government adoption," *Heliyon*, vol. 11, Article e42078, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2025.e42078.
- [105] D. Setiawati and F. Martdianty, "The effect of overall quality and self-efficacy on learners' flow and satisfaction in post-pandemic distance learning," *Eduvest – Journal of Universal Studies*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 10302–10320, 2024.
- [106] Pham L. 2023. E-government service quality, perceived value, satisfaction, and loyalty: Evidence from a newly emerging country. *Journal of Public Policy* 43(4):812–833. doi:10.1017/S0143814X22000268.

- [107] Mao Z, Zou Q, Bu T, Dong Y, Yan R. 2023. Understanding the role of service quality of government APPs in continuance intention: An expectation-confirmation perspective. *SAGE Open* 13(4). doi:10.1177/21582440231201218.
- [108] Mamakou XJ, Cohen S. 2024. Understanding e-government services usage continuance: The role of service quality and habit. *Information Systems Management* 41(4):357–376. doi:10.1080/10580530.2023.2279075.
- [109] GC SB. 2024. e-Governance, citizen satisfaction and net benefits. *Cogent Social Sciences* 10(1). doi:10.1080/23311975.2024.2402512.
- [110] Rahul, Gulia P, Gill NS. 2025. Articulation of blockchain enabled e-voting systems: A systematic literature review. *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*. doi:10.1007/s12083-025-01956-3.
- [111] Singh I, Singh AK, Omar M. 2024. Enhancing security and transparency in online voting through blockchain decentralization. *SN Computer Science* 5:932. doi:10.1007/s42979-024-03286-2.
- [112] Galal M, Abd El Reheem E, Guirguis S. 2026. Enhancing privacy and transparency in electronic voting: A blockchain-based cryptographic framework. *Journal of Cloud Computing*. doi:10.1186/s13677-026-00839-z.
- [113] Lai R, Beh LS. 2025. The impact of political efficacy on citizens' e-participation in digital government. *Administrative Sciences* 15(1):17. doi:10.3390/admsci15010017.
- [114] Shandler R, Ong I, Leu O, DeMattee A. 2026. Hacking voters' trust in democracy: Panel evidence on safeguarding confidence in election integrity. *Political Behavior*. doi:10.1007/s11109-026-10147-6.
- [115] Song Y. 2025. Trusting humans or bots? Examining trust transfer and algorithm aversion in China's e-government services. *Administrative Sciences* 15(8):308. doi:10.3390/admsci15080308.