

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] P. Shrivastava, "Understanding acceptance and resistance toward generative AI technologies: a multi-theoretical framework integrating functional, risk, and sociolegal factors," *Front. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 8, p. 1565927, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.3389/frai.2025.1565927.
- [2] S. Chen *et al.*, "An Empirical Study of GPT-4o Image Generation Capabilities," Apr. 10, 2025, *arXiv*: arXiv:2504.05979. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2504.05979.
- [3] Y. Gao, X. Pan, X. Li, and Z. Chen, "Why Compress What You Can Generate? When GPT-4o Generation Ushers in Image Compression Fields".
- [4] "Introducing 4o Image Generation." Accessed: Nov. 22, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://openai.com/index/introducing-4o-image-generation/>
- [5] D. Sundoro, M. Chandrawan, R. S. Tandilolo, and A. J. Lisal, "STUDI PENGGUNAAN GENERATIVE AI PADA MAHASISWA BARU DENGAN METODE UTAUT: STUDI KASUS DI PERGURUAN TINGGI," 2024.
- [6] S. Sugiono, "Proses Adopsi Teknologi Generative Artificial Intelligence dalam Dunia Pendidikan: Perspektif Teori Difusi Inovasi," *JPNK*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 110–133, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.24832/jpnk.v9i1.4859.
- [7] F. A. Hamizan, M. A. M. Suswanto, M. Sahril, and N. A. Rakhmawati, "STUDI KUANTITATIF PERSEPSI ETIS PENGGUNAAN AI IMAGE GENERATOR DI KALANGAN MAHASISWA DAN MASYARAKAT UMUM", [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392591201_Studi_Kuantitatif_Persepsi_Etis_Penggunaan_AI_Image_Generator_di_Kalangan_Mahasiswa_dan_Masyarakat_Umum
- [8] A. Yuri, H. Juwitasary, and D. H. Syahchari, "AI in Art Industry: Will it be Accepted in Creative Industries and Change the Market?," in *2025 IEEE Symposium on Industrial Electronics & Applications (ISIEA)*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IEEE, Jul. 2025, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ISIEA65768.2025.11138371.
- [9] W. Li, "A Study on Factors Influencing Designers' Behavioral Intention in Using AI-Generated Content for Assisted Design: Perceived Anxiety, Perceived Risk, and UTAUT," *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 1064–1077, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2024.2310354.
- [10] N. Wang, H. Kim, J. Peng, and J. Wang, "Exploring creativity in human–AI co-creation: a comparative study across design experience," *Front. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 7, p. 1672735, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2025.1672735.
- [11] X. Zou, W. Zhang, and N. Zhao, "From Fragment to One Piece: A Survey on AI-Driven Graphic Design," 2025, *arXiv*. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2503.18641.

- [12] N. Alotaibi, "The Future of Graphic Design in the Age of Generative AI: Its Impact on Creativity and Industry," *مجلة كلية التربية بتفها الأشراف*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 132–163, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.21608/jfst.2025.431299.
- [13] V. Rana, B. Verhoeven, and M. Sharma, "Generative AI in Design Thinking Pedagogy: Enhancing Creativity, Critical Thinking, and Ethical Reasoning in Higher Education," *JUTLP*, vol. 22, no. 4, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.53761/tjse2f36.
- [14] N. Derevyanko and O. Zalevska, "Comparative analysis of neural networks Midjourney, Stable Diffusion, and DALL-E and ways of their implementation in the educational process of students of design specialities," *Scientific Bulletin of Mukachevo State University Series "Pedagogy and Psychology"*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 36–44, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.52534/msu-pp3.2023.36.
- [15] M. B. Huh, M. Miri, and T. Tracy, "Students' Perceptions of Generative AI Image Tools in Design Education: Insights from Architectural Education," *Education Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 9, p. 1160, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.3390/educsci15091160.
- [16] S. Attewell, "Student perceptions of AI 2025," Jisc. Accessed: Nov. 12, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://beta.jisc.ac.uk/reports/student-perceptions-of-ai-2025>
- [17] Y. Oc, C. Gonsalves, and L. T. Quamina, "Generative AI in Higher Education Assessments: Examining Risk and Tech-Savviness on Student's Adoption," *Journal of Marketing Education*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 138–155, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.1177/02734753241302459.
- [18] M. Farinosi and C. Melchior, "To adopt or to ban? Student perceptions and use of generative AI in higher education," *Humanit Soc Sci Commun*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 1684, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1057/s41599-025-05982-7.
- [19] A. Iacob, "Slow down! Breathe! Artificial Intelligence (AI) anxiety in design education," in *LearnXDesign 2023*, Design Research Society, Nov. 2023. doi: 10.21606/drslxd.2024.067.
- [20] Y. Hwang and Y. Wu, "The influence of generative artificial intelligence on creative cognition of design students: a chain mediation model of self-efficacy and anxiety," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 15, p. 1455015, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1455015.
- [21] X. Yu, Y. Yang, and S. Li, "Users' continuance intention towards an AI painting application: An extended expectation confirmation model," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 19, no. 5, p. e0301821, May 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0301821.
- [22] Y. Kim, V. Blazquez, and T. Oh, "Determinants of Generative AI System Adoption and Usage Behavior in Korean Companies: Applying the UTAUT Model," *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 11, p. 1035, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.3390/bs14111035.

- [23] V. C. Storey, W. T. Yue, J. L. Zhao, and R. Lukyanenko, "Generative Artificial Intelligence: Evolving Technology, Growing Societal Impact, and Opportunities for Information Systems Research," *Inf Syst Front*, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10796-025-10581-7.
- [24] Venkatesh, Morris, Davis, and Davis, "User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 27, no. 3, p. 425, 2003, doi: 10.2307/30036540.
- [25] Windasari Dwiastuti, "Analisis Tanda Artificial Intelligence untuk Perancangan Visual Identity," *BARIK*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 29–42, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.26740/jdkv.v6i3.64939.
- [26] B. B. A. Tyas, "Desain Motif Batik Terinspirasi Semangat Para Atlet Difabel Indonesia Dengan Artificial Intelligence Pada Proses Brainstorming," *gestalt*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 29–40, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.33005/gestalt.v5i2.151.
- [27] I. S. Handoyo and J. A. Nugroho, "Membantu Efisiensi Workflow Desain Grafis dan Motion Graphic dengan Pemanfaatan Teknologi Artificial Intelligence," *RRJ*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 4415–4426, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.38035/rrj.v7i6.1779.
- [28] C. V. Padmasari, "Etika Profesi Penggunaan Generative AI Dari Perspektif Sosial Budaya Dan Filsafat Moral," *VIS.HER*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 557–567, May 2025, doi: 10.30998/vh.v7i3.13376.
- [29] Q. Wang, C. Li, and L. Zhu, "Analysis on the Acceptance of AIGC Technology by Art and Design Students in Universities in China," *ABACJ*, vol. 44, no. 4, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.59865/abacj.2024.57.
- [30] L. Zemmouchi-Ghomari, "Basic Concepts of Information Systems," in *Contemporary Issues in Information Systems - A Global Perspective*, D. Reilly, Ed., IntechOpen, 2022. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.97644.
- [31] Y. Du, T. Li, and C. Gao, "Why do designers in various fields have different attitude and behavioral intention towards AI painting tools? an extended UTAUT model," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 221, pp. 1519–1526, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2023.08.010.
- [32] Y. Wang, X. Guan, Y. Sun, H. Wang, and D. Chen, "The cognitive acceptance of generative AI image tools based on TPB-TAM model and multi-theory integration," *Advanced Design Research*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 38–54, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ijadr.2025.08.001.
- [33] D. Weglarz, C. Pla-Garcia, and A. I. Jiménez-Zarco, "Acceptance of Generative AI in the Creative Industry: Examining the role of Brand Recognition and Trust in the AI adoption," *ret*, vol. 15, no. 29, pp. 90–27, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.17163/ret.n29.2025.01.

- [34] S.-F. Wang and C.-C. Chen, “Exploring Designer Trust in Artificial Intelligence-Generated Content: TAM/TPB Model Study,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 16, p. 6902, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.3390/app14166902.
- [35] Y. Wang and W. Zhang, “Factors Influencing the Adoption of Generative AI for Art Designing Among Chinese Generation Z: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 143272–143284, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3342055.
- [36] S. Kang, Y. Choi, and B. Kim, “Impact of generative AI service adoption intent on user attitudes: Focusing on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology,” *ijirss*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 2021–2033, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.53894/ijirss.v8i1.4874.
- [37] H.-H. Yang, “The Acceptance of AI Tools Among Design Professionals: Exploring the Moderating Role of Job Replacement,” *IRRODL*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 326–349, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.19173/irrodl.v25i3.7811.
- [38] C. I. Maican *et al.*, “Factors Influencing the Behavioural Intention to Use AI-Generated Images in Business: A UTAUT2 Perspective With Moderators,” *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 1–32, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.4018/JOEUC.330019.
- [39] X. Wang, M. Li, Y. Yao, and X. Zhu, “A Study of Factors Influencing Chinese Design Students’ Adoption of AIGC Tools,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 117753–117770, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3582599.
- [40] L. Hu, M. Chen, and N. Ding, “Factors influencing digital media designers’ subscription to premium versions of AI drawing tools through a mixed methods study,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 15994, May 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-99924-7.
- [41] X. Bai and L. Yang, “Research on the influencing factors of generative artificial intelligence usage intent in post-secondary education: an empirical analysis based on the AIDUA extended model,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 16, p. 1644209, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1644209.
- [42] H. Ma and N. Li, “Exploring User Behavioral Intentions and Their Relationship With AI Design Tools: A Future Outlook on Intelligent Design,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 149192–149205, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3441088.
- [43] S.-F. Wang and C.-C. Chen, “Explore the driving factors of designers’ AIGC usage behavior based on SOR framework,” *Front. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 6, p. 1417016, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2024.1417016.
- [44] N. Ding, M. Chen, and L. Hu, “Examining the impact of big five personality traits on generation Z designers’ subscription to paid AI drawing tools using SEM and FsQCA,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 17587, May 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-02926-8.

- [45] P. Fan and Q. Jiang, "Exploring the Factors Influencing Continuance Intention to Use AI Drawing Tools: Insights from Designers," *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 68, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.3390/systems12030068.
- [46] Z. Zhu, T. Yu, Y. Wang, and J. Xu, "Revolutionizing Imaging Design Content With AIGC: User-Centered Challenges, Opportunities, and Workflow Evolution," *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 87600–87620, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3567750.
- [47] H. Lu, L. He, H. Yu, T. Pan, and K. Fu, "A Study on Teachers' Willingness to Use Generative AI Technology and Its Influencing Factors: Based on an Integrated Model," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 16, p. 7216, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16167216.
- [48] Y. Yao, X. Wang, and K. Sun, "Research on key factors influencing Chinese designers' use of AIGC: An extension based on TAM and TRI," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 20, no. 2, p. e0314306, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0314306.
- [49] S. Cengiz and A. Peker, "Generative artificial intelligence acceptance and artificial intelligence anxiety among university students: the sequential mediating role of attitudes toward artificial intelligence and literacy," *Curr Psychol*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 7991–8000, May 2025, doi: 10.1007/s12144-025-07433-7.
- [50] Y. Yin, "From threat perception to use hesitancy: examining college students' psychological barriers to generative AI adoption," *Front. Educ.*, vol. 10, p. 1618850, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.3389/educ.2025.1618850.
- [51] Q. Ajao, L. Sadeeq, and O. O. Sodiq, "Drivers of Electric Vehicle Adoption in Nigeria: An Extended UTAUT Framework Approach," 2024, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2410.17282.
- [52] A. Göde and A. Kalkan, "What is Artificial Intelligence?," in *Matematik ve Fen Bilimleri Üzerine Araştırmalar-IV*, A. Akpınar, Ed., Özgür Yayınları, 2023. doi: 10.58830/ozgur.pub392.c1548.
- [53] Z. Wang, H. Jeong, Y. Gan, J.-M. Pereira, Y. Gu, and E. Sauret, "Pore-scale modeling of multiphase flow in porous media using a conditional generative adversarial network (cGAN)," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 34, no. 12, p. 123325, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1063/5.0133054.
- [54] F. Kalota, "A Primer on Generative Artificial Intelligence," *Education Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 172, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.3390/educsci14020172.
- [55] J. Huang, "The Art of AI: A Human-Centered AI (HCAI) User Study of Integrating Image-Generative Tools in Visual Art Workflows," Jun. 2024.
- [56] N. Anantrasirichai and D. Bull, "Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: a review," *Artif Intell Rev*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 589–656, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10462-021-10039-7.

- [57] A. Aliyev, "Research of problems of interaction of economic processes with artificial intelligence technologies and development prospects of their solution mechanisms," *Druk. Vest.*, no. 5, pp. 245–260, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.17213/2312-6469-2023-5-245-260.
- [58] "AWS CA Country Report." Accessed: Oct. 21, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://assets.aboutamazon.com/fa/ab/1047220c4cd8aba0fe31a835b1d0/250502-aws-ca-country-report-en.pdf>
- [59] J. Kwon, E.-C. Jung, and J. Kim, "Designer-Generative AI Ideation Process: Generating Images Aligned with Designer Intent in Early-Stage Concept Exploration in Product Design," *adr*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 7–23, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.15187/adr.2024.07.37.3.7.
- [60] C.-W. Lee, "Application of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Design Education: An Exploration and Analysis to Enhance Student Creativity," in *2024 4th International Conference on Social Sciences and Intelligence Management (SSIM 2024)*, MDPI, Jul. 2025, p. 29. doi: 10.3390/engproc2025098029.
- [61] M. I. Rodriguez-Ferradas, T. Ballerini-Fernandes, and P. Morer-Camo, "ENHANCING INDUSTRIAL DESIGN EDUCATION: THE IMPACT OF GENERATIVE AI TOOLS ON MASTERING CREATIVITY TECHNIQUES," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Engineering and Product Design Education, EPDE 2025*, The Design Society, 2025, pp. 319–324. doi: 10.35199/EPDE.2025.54.
- [62] J. D. Weisz, J. He, M. Muller, G. Hofer, R. Miles, and W. Geyer, "Design Principles for Generative AI Applications," in *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Honolulu HI USA: ACM, May 2024, pp. 1–22. doi: 10.1145/3613904.3642466.
- [63] Y. Wang, Y. Pan, M. Yan, Z. Su, and T. H. Luan, "A Survey on ChatGPT: AI-Generated Contents, Challenges, and Solutions," *IEEE Open J. Comput. Soc.*, vol. 4, pp. 280–302, 2023, doi: 10.1109/OJCS.2023.3300321.
- [64] K. Tamilmani, N. P. Rana, and Y. K. Dwivedi, "Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: A Meta-Analytic Evaluation of UTAUT2," *Inf Syst Front*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 987–1005, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10796-020-10007-6.
- [65] Y. K. Dwivedi *et al.*, "Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy," *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 57, p. 101994, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002.
- [66] P. Han, S. Liu, D. Zhang, X. Li, and X. Li, "Research on the factors affecting the adoption of health short videos by the college students in China: unification based on TAM and UTAUT model," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 16, p. 1547402, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1547402.

- [67] M. Mizan and S. Sunardi, "SISTEM PENGENDALIAN INTERNAL KUALITAS AUDIT DAN TATA KELOLA PERUSAHAAN TERHADAP LABA PERUSAHAAN," *JAB*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 85, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.32502/jab.v8i1.6172.
- [68] N. Hasanatuludhhiyah *et al.*, "UNIVERSITY-SCHOOL PARTNERSHIP IN ACHIEVING THE VISION OF INDONESIA 2045 THROUGH RESEARCH TRAINING ON HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS," *JLM*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 51–60, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.20473/jlm.v6i1.2022.51-60.
- [69] J. Raymond and T. E. Darsaut, "Understanding statistical populations and inferences," *Neurochirurgie*, vol. 71, no. 1, p. 101608, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.neuchi.2024.101608.
- [70] J. W. Creswell, *Research design. Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*, 5th edition (international student edition). London: SAGE Publications, 2017.
- [71] D. Hossan, Z. Dato' Mansor, and N. S. Jaharuddin, "Research Population and Sampling in Quantitative Study," *IJBT*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 209–222, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.58915/ijbt.v13i3.263.
- [72] M. M. Willie, "Population and Target Population in Research Methodology," *GRSSE*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 75–79, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.52970/grsse.v4i1.405.
- [73] D. Makwana, P. Engineer, A. Dabhi, and H. Chudasama, "Sampling Methods in Research A Review".
- [74] B. H. Mukti, "Sample size determination: Principles and applications for health research," *Heal. Sci. Int. J.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 127–143, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.71357/hsij.v3i1.63.
- [75] M. E. Akokuwebe, M. E. Palamuleni, and E. S. Idemudia, "Population dynamics and digitalization: implications for COVID-19 data sources in South Africa—a scoping review," *Front. Public Health*, vol. 12, p. 1537057, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1537057.
- [76] N. Dahal *et al.*, "Participant selection procedures in qualitative research: experiences and some points for consideration," *Front. Res. Metr. Anal.*, vol. 9, p. 1512747, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.3389/frma.2024.1512747.
- [77] D. T. Esch, N. Mylonopoulos, and V. Theoharakis, "Evaluating mobile-based data collection for crowdsourcing behavioral research," *Behav Res*, vol. 57, no. 4, p. 106, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3758/s13428-025-02618-1.
- [78] J. F. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Second edition. Los Angeles London New Delhi Singapore Washington DC Melbourne: SAGE, 2017.

- [79] M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. F. Hair, "Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling," in *Handbook of Market Research*, C. Homburg, M. Klarmann, and A. E. Vomberg, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 1–47. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-2.
- [80] M. H. Miraz, R. Sham, and S. Annamalah, "Advancing mixed-methods research through PLS-SEM and NVivo: a methodological integration in AI literacy studies," *Qual Quant*, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11135-025-02401-6.
- [81] M. Angelelli, E. Ciavolino, C. M. Ringle, M. Sarstedt, and M. Aria, "Conceptual structure and thematic evolution in partial least squares structural equation modeling research," *Qual Quant*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 2753–2798, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11135-025-02071-4.
- [82] Dearnj Anjelina Panjaitan, Meyshinta Aulia, Yeni Juliana, Moh. Afdol, and Rio Kurniawan, "Persepsi Mahasiswa Pada Isu Penggunaan Ghibli Art AI di Media Sosial Sebagai Mata Pencarian," *josh*, vol. 4, no. 02, pp. 167–178, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.55352/josh.v4i02.1927.
- [83] P. Kurniasari, A. Mardikaningsih, and R. S. Sari, "Dependensi Penggunaan Kecerdasan Buatan AI (Artificial Intelligence) Terhadap Tugas Akademik Mahasiswa," *JUPEIS*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 604–612, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.57218/jupeis.Vol4.Iss3.1801.
- [84] S. Bjelobaba, L. Waddington, M. Perkins, T. Foltýnek, S. Bhattacharyya, and D. Weber-Wulff, "Research Integrity and GenAI: A Systematic Analysis of Ethical Challenges Across Research Phases," Dec. 13, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2412.10134. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2412.10134.
- [85] R. A. Santana, A. A. D. Yani, D. Ananda, E. Bella, F. I. Harahap, and N. Amalia, "PENGARUH PENGGUNAAN ARTIFICIAL INTELEGENCE(AI) TERHADAP KEMAMPUAN BERPIKIR KRITIS DAN KREATIF MAHASISWA JURUSAN BAHASA DAN SASTRA INDONESIA," vol. 10, 2025, [Online]. Available: <https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/pendas/article/view/27160>
- [86] Dr. A. Shaji George, "The Dark Side of AI-Generated Ghibli-fication Images: A Review of the Potential Risks and Consequences," Apr. 2025, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.15199613.
- [87] W. Jon, "Ghiblification, generative AI and the quest for fair circuits of creativity," *Nat Electron*, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41928-025-01495-y.
- [88] N. Deng, E. J. Liu, and X. Zhai, "Understanding University Students' Use of Generative AI: The Roles of Demographics and Personality Traits," May 19, 2025, *arXiv*: arXiv:2505.02863. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2505.02863.
- [89] A. Johri, A. Hingle, and J. Schleiss, "Misconceptions, Pragmatism, and Value Tensions: Evaluating Students' Understanding and Perception of Generative

AI for Education,” in *2024 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, Oct. 2024, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1109/FIE61694.2024.10893017.

[90] D. Marikyan, “Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology,” Jun. 2025, [Online]. Available: <https://open.ncl.ac.uk/theories/2/unified-theory-of-acceptance-and-use-of-technology>

[91] Y. Wang and Y. Dong, “AIGC Assisted Generation Craft Based on Dialogue Interface,” *HSET*, vol. 57, pp. 242–246, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.54097/hset.v57i.10008.

[92] Q. Zhang, R. Zhang, X. Lu, and X. Zhang, “What drives the adoption of online health communities? An empirical study from patient-centric perspective,” *BMC Health Serv Res*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 524, May 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12913-023-09469-6.

[93] L. Xue, A. M. Rashid, and S. Ouyang, “The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) in Higher Education: A Systematic Review,” *Sage Open*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 21582440241229570, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1177/21582440241229570.

[94] M. Blut *et al.*, “Meta-Analysis of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): Challenging its Validity and Charting a Research Agenda in the Red Ocean,” *J AIS*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 13–95, 2022, doi: 10.17705/1jais.00719.

[95] N. Ashikin Izhar, W. Ven Ye Teh, and A. Adnan, “Unlocking AI Potential: Effort Expectancy, Satisfaction, and Usage in Research,” *JITE:IIP*, vol. 24, p. 005, 2025, doi: 10.28945/5450.

[96] M. N. Yakubu, N. David, and N. H. Abubakar, “Students’ behavioural intention to use content generative AI for learning and research: A UTAUT theoretical perspective,” *Educ Inf Technol*, vol. 30, no. 13, pp. 17969–17994, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10639-025-13441-8.

[97] Ahmad Tajudin Baharin, “Exploring the Adoption of Generative Artificial Intelligence by TVET Students: A UTAUT Analysis of Perceptions, Benefits, and Implementation Challenges,” *jisem*, vol. 10, no. 19s, pp. 429–437, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.52783/jisem.v10i19s.3052.

[98] C. Kim, “Understanding Factors Influencing Generative AI Use Intention: A Bayesian Network-Based Probabilistic Structural Equation Model Approach,” *Electronics*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 530, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3390/electronics14030530.

[99] V. Chellappa and Y. Luximon, “Understanding the perception of design students towards ChatGPT,” *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 7, p. 100281, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100281.

[100] C. Fang, M. Zhang, P. V. Khiatani, H. Lin, W. Liu, and S. J. Wang, “A comparative analysis of generative AI adoption among design professionals in China and the United Kingdom: a UTAUT perspective,” *Humanit Soc Sci Commun*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 411, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.1057/s41599-026-06796-x.

- [101] Q. Ke, Y. Gong, and C. Ke, “Bridging AI literacy and UTAUT constructs: structural equation modeling of AI adoption among Chinese university students,” *Humanit Soc Sci Commun*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 1452, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1057/s41599-025-05775-y.
- [102] D. T. Truong, T. D. Tran, T. N. Phuong, A. N. Kim, and N. T. C. Phu, “Decoding User Intentions Towards AI Chatbot Services Under the Impact of Social Influences,” *Emerg Sci J*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1403–1417, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.28991/ESJ-2025-09-03-015.
- [103] V. Saprikis and G. Avlogiaris, “Factors That Determine the Adoption Intention of Direct Mobile Purchases through Social Media Apps,” *Information*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 449, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.3390/info12110449.
- [104] J. Nugraha, N. Sun, X. Zhao, V. K. Wardani, I. Koblianska, and J.-W. Lian, “Facilitating Conditions as an Enabler, Not a Direct Motivator: A Robustness and Mediation Analysis of E-Learning Adoption,” 2025, *arXiv*. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2512.07185.
- [105] M. N. Masrek, A. M. Syam, and M. Y. Mustaffar, “Understanding Performance and Effort Expectancy in Generative AI Use through Data Mining Models,” 2026.
- [106] J. Wu, W. Gan, Z. Chen, S. Wan, and H. Lin, “AI-Generated Content (AIGC): A Survey,” Mar. 26, 2023, *arXiv*: arXiv:2304.06632. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2304.06632.
- [107] C. Chen, J. Fu, and L. Lyu, “A Pathway Towards Responsible AI Generated Content,” Dec. 27, 2023, *arXiv*: arXiv:2303.01325. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2303.01325.
- [108] C. Chen, Z. Wu, Y. Lai, W. Ou, T. Liao, and Z. Zheng, “Challenges and Remedies to Privacy and Security in AIGC: Exploring the Potential of Privacy Computing, Blockchain, and Beyond,” Jun. 01, 2023, *arXiv*: arXiv:2306.00419. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2306.00419.
- [109] K.-B. Ooi *et al.*, “The Potential of Generative Artificial Intelligence Across Disciplines: Perspectives and Future Directions,” *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 76–107, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1080/08874417.2023.2261010.
- [110] K. Wach *et al.*, “The dark side of generative artificial intelligence: A critical analysis of controversies and risks of ChatGPT,” *EBER*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 7–30, 2023, doi: 10.15678/EBER.2023.110201.
- [111] A. Gunasinghe and S. Nanayakkara, “Role of technology anxiety within UTAUT in understanding non-user adoption intentions to virtual learning environments: the state university lecturers’ perspective,” *IJTEL*, vol. 13, no. 3, p. 284, 2021, doi: 10.1504/IJTEL.2021.115978.

- [112] S. Diel, E. Doctor, R. Reith, C. Buck, and T. Eymann, “Examining supporting and constraining factors of physicians’ acceptance of telemedical online consultations: a survey study,” *BMC Health Serv Res*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 1128, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12913-023-10032-6.
- [113] C. Kai, W. Ping, and J. Xiaomin, “AI anxiety and adoption intention in higher education based on an extended TAM-UTAUT and PLS-SEM analysis,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 3672, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1038/s41598-026-35823-9.
- [114] R. Chan, I. Troshani, S. Rao Hill, and A. Hoffmann, “Towards an understanding of consumers’ FinTech adoption: the case of Open Banking,” *IJBM*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 886–917, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1108/IJBM-08-2021-0397.
- [115] M. M. Willie, “Population and Target Population in Research Methodology,” *GRSSE*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 75–79, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.52970/grsse.v4i1.405.
- [116] I. Huh and J. Gim, “Exploration of Likert scale in terms of continuous variable with parametric statistical methods,” *BMC Med Res Methodol*, vol. 25, no. 1, p. 218, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s12874-025-02668-1.
- [117] S. S. Solórzano Solórzano *et al.*, “Acceptance of artificial intelligence and its effect on entrepreneurial intention in foreign trade students: a mirror analysis,” *J Innov Entrep*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 59, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1186/s13731-024-00412-5.
- [118] H. Li, Y. Liu, Q. Guo, M. Shi, P. Zhang, and S. Kim, “Unveiling the Complexity of Designers’ Intention to Use Generative AI in Corporate Product Design: A Grounded Theory and fsQCA,” *Systems*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 275, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.3390/systems13040275.
- [119] Sugiyono, *Statistika Untuk Penelitian*, 33rd ed. Bandung: CV Alfabeta, 2025.
- [120] R. Mahkotawati, T. Rijanto, and P. W. Rusimamto, “Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Instrumen Penelitian: Angket Pengalaman Praktik Kerja Lapangan (PKL) pada Siswa SMK;,” *jiip*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1830–1835, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.54371/jiip.v8i2.6990.
- [121] J. W. Creswell and J. D. Creswell, *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*, Fifth edition. Los Angeles London New Delhi Singapore Washington DC Melbourne: SAGE, 2018.
- [122] Y. Dong, “Descriptive Statistics and Its Applications,” *HSET*, vol. 47, pp. 16–23, May 2023, doi: 10.54097/hset.v47i.8159.
- [123] L. D. Martias, “STATISTIKA DESKRIPTIF SEBAGAI KUMPULAN INFORMASI,” *FHRS*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 40, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.14421/fhrs.2021.161.40-59.

- [124] T. P. Peixoto, *Descriptive vs. Inferential Community Detection in Networks: Pitfalls, Myths and Half-Truths*, 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, 2023. doi: 10.1017/9781009118897.
- [125] S. Soim, “Transforming Education: The Impact of Transformational Leadership on Staff Performance,” *AT*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1345–1359, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.33650/al-tanzim.v8i4.9684.
- [126] J. F. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, M. Sarstedt, N. P. Danks, and S. Ray, *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R: A Workbook*. in Classroom Companion: Business. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7.
- [127] L. Zeng, A. Wang, Y. Huang, and Y. Shen, “Unveiling college students’ adoption of AIGC in design learning: an integrated model,” *Humanit Soc Sci Commun*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 357, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.1057/s41599-026-06713-2.
- [128] N. B. Alotaibi, “Adoption of AI tools in Education: Examining influencing factors and their impact on students’ critical thinking skills,” *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, vol. 21, p. 100990, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.chbr.2026.100990.
- [129] A. Mistry, P. Jhala, D. Maheta, and R. Khatwani, “Adoption and use of artificial intelligence tools in education: a UTAUT2-based study of school and university students in Surat city,” *Discov Educ*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 551, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s44217-025-00979-5.
- [130] H. Park, “AI-Assisted Designers or Designer-Assisted AI? Human-AI Collaboration in Service Design,” in *ServDes 2025: Empowering Diversity, Nurturing Lasting Impact*, Design Research Society, Oct. 2025. doi: 10.21606/servdes2025.122.
- [131] J. Y. Lee and M. Kim, “A Study on the Use of Generative AI in Design Education Environment -Case of Brand Identity Design-,” *KOTPM*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 24–33, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.35280/KOTPM.2025.28.1.3.
- [132] L. Abrusci, K. Dabaghi, S. D’Urso, and F. Sciarrone, “AI4Design: A generative AI-based system to improve creativity in design—A field evaluation,” *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 8, p. 100401, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100401.
- [133] S. Grassini, M. L. Aasen, and A. Møgelvang, “Understanding University Students’ Acceptance of ChatGPT: Insights from the UTAUT2 Model,” *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 1, p. 2371168, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1080/08839514.2024.2371168.
- [134] P. Shukla, S. Naik, I. Obi, J. Backus, N. Rasche, and P. Parsons, “Rethinking Citation of AI Sources in Student-AI Collaboration within HCI Design Education,” in *Proceedings of the EduCHI 2025: 7th Annual Symposium on HCI Education*, Bloomington IN USA: ACM, Jul. 2025, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1145/3742901.3742909.

- [135] R. B. Toma and I. Yáñez-Pérez, “Effects of ChatGPT use on undergraduate students’ creativity: a threat to creative thinking?,” *Discov Artif Intell*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 74, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s44163-024-00172-x.
- [136] J. Jiao and X. Cao, “Research on designers’ behavioral intention toward Artificial Intelligence-Aided Design: integrating the Theory of Planned Behavior and the Technology Acceptance Model,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 15, p. 1450717, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1450717.
- [137] J. H. Gruenhagen, P. M. Sinclair, J.-A. Carroll, P. R. A. Baker, A. Wilson, and D. Demant, “The rapid rise of generative AI and its implications for academic integrity: Students’ perceptions and use of chatbots for assistance with assessments,” *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 7, p. 100273, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100273.