

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] We Are Social, “Digital 2023 Overview Report,” 2023. Accessed: May 17, 2026. [Online]. Available: [wearesocial.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Digital-2023-Global-Overview-Report.pdf](https://wearesocial.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Digital-2023-Global-Overview-Report.pdf)
- [2] F. Guo, L. Gao, A. Ni, X. Zhao, and Y. Zhang, “Research on MaaS Usage Intention and Influence Mechanism,” *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, no. 17, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.3390/app15179453.
- [3] C. Sitinjak, V. Simic, and D. Pamucar, “Psychological factors shaping public acceptance of the adoption of autonomous vehicles in Indonesia,” *J. Transp. Health*, vol. 34, p. 101726, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1016/J.JTH.2023.101726.
- [4] databoks, “10 Negara dengan Jumlah Penduduk Terbanyak di Dunia Pertengahan 2023.” Accessed: May 17, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/demografi/statistik/15a5294c304a507/10-negara-dengan-jumlah-penduduk-terbanyak-di-dunia-pertengahan-2023>
- [5] Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia, “Statistik Transportasi Darat 2023,” Jakarta, 2024.
- [6] B. P. S. Indonesia, “Penyediaan dan Penggunaan Fisik untuk Emisi GRK Indonesia (Ribuan Ton CO<sub>2</sub>), 2023,” BPS Indonesia. [Online]. Available: <https://www.bps.go.id/id/statistics-table/2/MjI4NyMy/penyediaan-dan-penggunaan-fisik-untuk-emisi-grk-indonesia.html>
- [7] Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia Provinsi Jawa Timur, “Jumlah Kendaraan Bermotor Menurut Kabupaten/Kota dan Jenis Kendaraan di Provinsi Jawa Timur (unit), 2024.” Accessed: Oct. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: Jumlah Kendaraan Bermotor Menurut Kabupaten/Kota dan Jenis Kendaraan di Provinsi Jawa Timur (unit), 2024
- [8] Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia, “Panjang Jalan Menurut Provinsi dan Tingkat Kewenangan Pemerintahan (km), 2023,” BPS Indonesia. [Online]. Available: <https://www.bps.go.id/id/statistics-table/3/U0VOeFZEZFNiVnByUkdGMlNrOTFVVGRHY1ZkVGR6MDkjMw==/panjang-jalan-menurut-provinsi-dan-tingkat-kewenangan-pemerintahan--km---2022.html?year=2023>
- [9] S. Wang, D. Yu, M. P. Kwan, L. Zheng, H. Miao, and Y. Li, “The impacts of road network density on motor vehicle travel: An empirical study of Chinese

- cities based on network theory,” *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.*, vol. 132, no. 5988, pp. 144–156, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2019.11.012.
- [10] United Nations, “The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2020,” 2020.
- [11] F. D. Davis, “Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology,” *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–340, 1989.
- [12] S. Yu, B. Li, H. Wang, Y. Liu, and S. Hu, “Applying a Modified Technology Acceptance Model to Explore Individuals’ Willingness to Use Mobility as a Service (MaaS): A Case Study of Beijing, China,” *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 12, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.3390/systems12120511.
- [13] K. C. Laudon and J. P. Laudon, *Management Information Systems MANAGING THE DIGITAL FIRM Eleventh Edition*.
- [14] J. Sochor, H. Arby, I. C. M. A. Karlsson, and S. Sarasini, “A topological approach to Mobility as a Service: A proposed tool for understanding requirements and effects, and for aiding the integration of societal goals,” *Research in Transportation Business and Management*, vol. 27, pp. 3–14, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rtbm.2018.12.003.
- [15] D. A. Hensher, “Future bus transport contracts under a mobility as a service (MaaS) regime in the digital age: Are they likely to change?,” *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.*, vol. 98, pp. 86–96, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2017.02.006.
- [16] M. Kamargianni, W. Li, M. Matyas, and A. Schäfer, “A Critical Review of New Mobility Services for Urban Transport,” *Transportation Research Procedia*, vol. 14, pp. 3294–3303, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.TRPRO.2016.05.277.
- [17] P. Jittrapirom, V. Caiati, A. M. Feneri, S. Ebrahimigharehbaghi, M. J. Alonso-González, and J. Narayan, “Mobility as a service: A critical review of definitions, assessments of schemes, and key challenges,” *Urban Plan.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 13–25, 2017, doi: 10.17645/up.v2i2.931.
- [18] G. Lyons, P. Hammond, and K. Mackay, “The importance of user perspective in the evolution of MaaS,” *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.*, vol. 121, pp. 22–36, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.TRA.2018.12.010.
- [19] M. Matyas and M. Kamargianni, “The potential of mobility as a service bundles as a mobility management tool,” *Transportation (Amst.)*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1951–1968, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11116-018-9913-4.

- [20] M. Hasselwander and J. F. Bigotte, “Mobility as a Service (MaaS) in the Global South: research findings, gaps, and directions,” *European Transport Research Review*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12544-023-00604-2.
- [21] E. A. Kurniati, J. Sumabrata, S. Maimunah, and G. Mauramdha, “Analysis of mobility as a service (MaaS) implementation readiness indicators in Indonesiatle,” *AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 3215, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1063/5.0235827.
- [22] R. Kakujo, “Mobility as a Service (MAAS),” Traffine I/O. [Online]. Available: <https://io.traffine.com/id/articles/mobility-as-a-service>
- [23] Direktorat Jenderal Perhubungan Darat, “Portal Layanan & Data Direktorat Jenderal Perhubungan Darat,” Direktorat Jenderal Perhubungan Darat. Accessed: Oct. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://mitradarat.dephub.go.id/>
- [24] C. van Ooijen, B.-C. Ubaldi, and B. Welby, “A data-driven public sector: Enabling the strategic use of data for productive, inclusive and trustworthy governance,” 2019. doi: 10.1787/09ab162c-en.
- [25] G. Smith, J. Sochor, and I. C. M. Karlsson, “Public–private innovation: barriers in the case of mobility as a service in West Sweden,” *Public Management Review*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/14719037.2018.1462399.
- [26] M. Fishbein and I. Ajzen, *Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1975.
- [27] V. Venkatesh and F. D. Davis, “A Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies,” *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 186–204, 2000.
- [28] V. Venkatesh and H. Bala, “Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a Research Agenda on Interventions,” *Decision Sciences*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 273–315, 2008.
- [29] D. Gefen, E. Karahanna, and Straub D. W., “Trust and TAM in online shopping,” *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 51–90, 2003.
- [30] M. Al-Emran and K. Shaalan, *Recent Advances in Technology Acceptance Models and Theories*. Springer Nature, 2021.
- [31] J. Hair, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt, *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, 2nd ed. Sage, 2019.

- [32] B. M. Byrne, *Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS*, no. July. Routledge, 2010. doi: 10.4324/9781410600219.
- [33] R. B. Kline, *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. New York: The Guilford Press, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379694768\\_Methodology\\_in\\_the\\_Social\\_Sciences\\_Rex\\_B\\_Kline\\_-\\_Principles\\_and\\_Practice\\_of\\_Structural\\_Equation\\_Modeling-The\\_Guilford\\_Press\\_2023](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379694768_Methodology_in_the_Social_Sciences_Rex_B_Kline_-_Principles_and_Practice_of_Structural_Equation_Modeling-The_Guilford_Press_2023)
- [34] M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle, and J. F. Hair, *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling*. Springer, 2014.
- [35] J. F. Hair Jr, G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle, M. Sarstedt, N. P. Danks, and S. Ray, *Partial Least (PLS-SEM) Using R Equation Modeling Squares Structural*, vol. 30, no. 1. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2021.
- [36] W. W. Chin, "The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling," *Modern Methods for Business Research*, vol. 295, no. 2, pp. 295–336, 1998.
- [37] D. Gefen, D. W. Straub, and M.-C. Boudreau, "Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice," *Communications of AIS*, vol. 4, no. 7, 2000.
- [38] J. Henseler, G. Hubona, and P. A. Ray, "Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: Updated guidelines," *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 2–20, 2016, doi: 10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382.
- [39] M. Siahaan and K. Kurniawan, "Analisis Penerimaan Aplikasi Transportasi Online di Kepulauan Riau Menggunakan Metode Technology Acceptance Model," *Journal of Applied Informatics and Computing*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 16–24, 2022, doi: 10.30871/jaic.v6i1.3509.
- [40] M. Zaigham, C. P. Y. Chin, and J. Dasan, "Disentangling Determinants of Ride-Hailing Services among Malaysian Drivers," *Information (Switzerland)*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 1–24, 2022, doi: 10.3390/info13120584.
- [41] G. J. Sahertian, A. Aditya, and S. Aminah, "Analisis Penerimaan dan Penggunaan Transportasi Online di Kalangan Pelajar (Studi Kasus: SMA di Kecamatan Blimbing, Kota Malang)," *Jurnal Tekno Kompak*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 1, 2021, doi: 10.33365/jtk.v15i2.1153.
- [42] I. Lopez-Carreiro, A. Monzon, D. Lois, and M. E. Lopez-Lambas, "Are travellers willing to adopt MaaS? Exploring attitudinal and personality

- factors in the case of Madrid, Spain,” *Travel Behav. Soc.*, vol. 25, no. August 2020, pp. 246–261, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.tbs.2021.07.011.
- [43] W. Kang, Q. Wang, L. Cheng, and M. Ning, “Examining Commuters’ Intention to Use App-Based Carpooling: Insights from the Technology Acceptance Model,” *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 16, no. 14, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16145894.
- [44] O. Werth, M. O. Sonneberg, M. Leyerer, and M. H. Breitner, “Examining customers’ critical acceptance factors toward ridepooling services,” *Transp. Res. Rec.*, vol. 2675, no. 11, pp. 1310–1323, 2021, doi: 10.1177/03611981211026304.
- [45] N. Pakaya and R. Ladiku, “Mengukur Penerimaan Aplikasi Transportasi Online Menggunakan Model UTAUT 2,” *Jambura Journal of Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 64–74, 2024, doi: 10.37905/jji.v6i1.24735.
- [46] Y. Sunitiyoso, P. F. Belgiawan, M. Rizki, and V. Hasyimi, “Understanding user acceptance of mobility-as-a-service in Jakarta Metropolitan Area (JMA): Influencing factors and behavioural insights,” *Transp. Res. Interdiscip. Perspect.*, vol. 32, no. November 2024, p. 101523, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.trip.2025.101523.
- [47] H. Luo, S. Ma, J. Tian, and H. Dong, “Understanding the behavioral intentions of MaaS during public health events,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 15, no. January, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1517783.
- [48] J. Moon, J. Shim, and W. S. Lee, “Exploring Uber Taxi Application Using the Technology Acceptance Model,” *Systems*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1–11, 2022, doi: 10.3390/systems10040103.
- [49] T. Lv and X. Lv, “Factors affecting users’ stickiness in online car-hailing platforms: An empirical study,” *International Journal of Internet Manufacturing and Services*, vol. 7, no. 1–2, pp. 176–189, 2020, doi: 10.1504/IJIMS.2020.105043.
- [50] K. Ikhsan and D. Sunaryo, “Technology Acceptance Model, Social Influence and Perceived Risk in Using Mobile Applications: Empirical Evidence in Online Transportation in Indonesia,” *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 127–138, 2020, doi: 10.15294/jdm.v11i2.23309.
- [51] N. Hidayati and Y. Ramdhani, “Analisis Penerimaan dan Penggunaan Aplikasi Gojek Menggunakan Model UTAUT (Studi Kasus: SMK MVP

- ARS Internasional),” *JAMI: Jurnal Ahli Muda Indonesia*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 85–95, 2020, doi: 10.46510/jami.v1i1.22.
- [52] U. Akram, R. Lavuri, and S. Mathur, “Hey boomer, ‘your ride has arrived’: Are you willing to continue using the ride-hailing app?,” *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 77, no. December 2023, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103678.
- [53] A. P. Kusumawardani *et al.*, “Analisis Kemudahan Pengguna Aplikasi GO-JEK di Samarinda Menggunakan Metode Technology Acceptance Model 2,” *Adopsi Teknologi dan Sistem Informasi (ATASI)*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 122–128, 2022, doi: 10.30872/atasi.v1i2.424.
- [54] M. Akbari, N. S. Amiri, M. Á. Zúñiga, H. Padash, and H. Shakiba, “Evidence for Acceptance of Ride-Hailing Services in Iran,” *Transp. Res. Rec.*, vol. 2674, no. 11, pp. 289–303, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0361198120942224.
- [55] W. Kriswardhana and D. Esztergár-Kiss, “University students’ adoption of mobility as a service with respect to user preferences and group differences,” *J. Public Trans.*, vol. 26, no. January 2023, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jpubtr.2023.100079.
- [56] Muhammad Wildan Pratama, Toddy Aditya, Adie Dwiyanto Nurlukman, and Yusuf Fadli, “Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Masyarakat Dalam Menggunakan Aplikasi Jak Lingko,” *Risenologi*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 48–58, 2023, doi: 10.47028/risenologi.v8i2.502.
- [57] M. N. Almunawar, M. Anshari, and S. Ariff Lim, “Customer acceptance of ride-hailing in Indonesia,” *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 443–462, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JSTPM-09-2019-0082.
- [58] M. Toyama, “Empirical Study on the Acceptance of Mobility as a Service (MaaS) Based on the UTAUT2 Model,” *Asia Marketing Journal*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 121–130, 2022, doi: 10.53728/2765-6500.1593.
- [59] J. L. Soares, J. M. M. Christino, M. de Sevilha Gosling, L. A. R. Vera, and É. A. A. Cardozo, “Acceptance and use of e-hailing technology: A study of Uber based on the UTAUT2 model,” *Int. J. Bus. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 512–535, 2020, doi: 10.1504/IJBIS.2020.109019.
- [60] B. Gajdzik *et al.*, “Encouraging Residents to Save Energy by Using Smart Transportation: Incorporating the Propensity to Save Energy into the

- UTAUT Model,” *Energies (Basel)*., vol. 17, no. 21, 2024, doi: 10.3390/en17215341.
- [61] D. Rosa Indah, A. Permata Putra, and M. Afriyan Firdaus, “Analysis of User Acceptance Using UTAUT2 Model in KAI Access Application,” *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi dan Pendidikan*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2022, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.24036/tip.v15i2>
- [62] A. R. Indriani and N. R. Oktadini, “Analisis Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Niat Perilaku Pengguna Aplikasi Indrive Menggunakan Metode Utaut2,” *JOISIE (Journal Of Information Systems And Informatics Engineering)*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 272, 2024, doi: 10.35145/joisie.v8i2.4726.
- [63] A. Molla, S. X. Duan, H. Deng, and R. Tay, “The effects of digital platform expectations, information schema congruity and behavioural factors on mobility as a service (MaaS) adoption,” *Information Technology and People*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 81–109, 2024, doi: 10.1108/ITP-03-2022-0226.
- [64] R. Chang, A. Vafaei-Zadeh, H. Hanifah, D. Nikbin, and T. Ramayah, “Modelling mobility as a service (MaaS) adoption using perceived value, trust and attitude: The contingent role of environmental consciousness,” *Energy Strategy Reviews*, vol. 62, no. October, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.esr.2025.101934.
- [65] G. González-Sánchez, E. Maeso-González, E. López, and I. Aguiar, “Exploring determining factors of MaaS app use and its potential effects on mobility behavior: Keys to gender-sensitive planning and management,” *Transp. Policy (Oxf)*., vol. 158, no. December 2023, pp. 175–195, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2024.09.022.
- [66] P. Goel and P. Haldar, “Shared ride-hailing service in India: An analysis of consumers’ intention to adopt,” *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 336–353, 2020, doi: 10.1504/IJBEM.2020.109598.
- [67] D. M. Ashrafi, W. Habiba, and I. Alam, “An assessment of the behavioural intention for using ride-sharing services: Empirical evidence from a developing country,” *Malaysian Journal of Consumer and Family Economics*, vol. 24, no. October, pp. 33–62, 2020.
- [68] L. Mola, Q. Berger, K. Haavisto, and I. Soscia, “Mobility as a service: An exploratory study of consumer mobility behaviour,” *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 19, pp. 1–14, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12198210.

- [69] S. Phonsitthangkun, T. Arreeras, S. Prommakhot, and M. Arimura, “Analysis of Thai’s willingness to adopt mobility-as-a-service (MaaS) in emerging urban transport system,” *Urban Transitions*, vol. 2, no. March, p. 100010, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ubtr.2025.100010.
- [70] Z. Gan and W. Li, “Who are MaaS avoiders, wanderers or enthusiasts and what drives their intentions to adopt MaaS?,” *Transport Economics and Management*, vol. 3, no. December 2024, pp. 57–69, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.team.2025.02.001.
- [71] A. W. Siyal, C. Hongzhuan, and C. Gang, “From Consumer Satisfaction to Recommendation of Mobile App–Based Services: An Overview of Mobile Taxi Booking Apps,” *Sage Open*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1177/21582440211004179.
- [72] J. W. Cresswell and J. D. Cresswell, *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Method Approaches*, 5th ed. Los Angeles, 2018. doi: 10.2307/j.ctt2204s7w.11.
- [73] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, “User Acceptance of Information Technology : Toward a Unified View User Acceptance of Information Technology : Toward a Unified View,” *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 27, no. 3, 2003, doi: 10.2307/30036540.
- [74] N. K. Malhotra, S. S. Kim, and J. Agarwal, “Internet users’ information privacy concerns (IUIPC): The construct, the scale, and a causal model,” *Information Systems Research*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 336–355, 2004, doi: 10.1287/isre.1040.0032.
- [75] S. Bamberg and G. Moser, “Twenty years after Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: A new meta-analysis of psycho-social determinants of pro-environmental behaviour,” *J. Environ. Psychol.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 14–25, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2006.12.002.
- [76] T. Dinev and P. Hart, “An extended privacy calculus model for e-commerce transactions,” *Information Systems Research*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 61–80, 2006, doi: 10.1287/isre.1060.0080.
- [77] A. Bandura, *Social foundations of thought and action*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1986.
- [78] M. V. Ciasullo, O. Troisi, M. Grimaldi, and D. Leone, “Multi-level governance for sustainable innovation in smart communities : an ecosystems

- approach,” *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, vol. 16, pp. 1167–1195, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11365-020-00641-6.
- [79] C. Q. Ho, C. Mulley, and D. A. Hensher, “Public preferences for mobility as a service: Insights from stated preference surveys,” *Transp. Res. Part A Policy Pract.*, vol. 131, pp. 70–90, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.TRA.2019.09.031.
- [80] Sugiyono, *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta, 2023.
- [81] W. G. Cochran, *Sampling Techniques*. John Wiley & Sons, 1977.
- [82] R. Likert, “A Technique for the Measurement of Attitudes,” *Archives of Psychology*, vol. 22, 1932.
- [83] D. Ramdani, E. Supriatna, and W. Yuliani, “Validitas dan Reliabilitas Angket Kematangan Emosi,” vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 232–238, 2023, doi: 10.22460/fokusv6i3.10869.
- [84] U. Sekaran and R. Bougie, *Research Methods for Business: a Skill-Building Approach*, 7th ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [85] W. Li and Y. F. Lay, “Examining the reliability and validity of measuring scales related to informatization and instructional leadership using the PLS-SEM approach,” *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 461–480, 2024, doi: 10.18488/73.v12i3.3789.
- [86] H. S. Nia, L. She, E. S. Froelicher, J. Marôco, M. Moshtagh, and S. Hejazi, “Psychometric evaluation of the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale among Iranian population,” *BMC Psychiatry*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12888-023-04580-8.
- [87] M. Lin and L. Huynh, “Bridging causal explanation and predictive modeling : the role of PLS-SEM,” *Research in Business & Social Science*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 197–206, 2024, doi: 10.20525/ijrbs.v13i10.3888.
- [88] M. T. Khan, M. D. Idrees, M. Rauf, A. Sami, A. Ansari, and A. Jamil, “Green Supply Chain Management Practices’ Impact on Operational Performance with the Mediation of Technological Innovation,” *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1–22, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14063362.
- [89] W. L. Neuman, *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

- [90] M. Sarstedt, J. Hair, and C. Ringle, “‘PLS-SEM: indeed a silver bullet’ – retrospective observations and recent advances,” *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1080/10696679.2022.2056488.
- [91] J. F. Hair Jr., W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, and R. E. Anderson, *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 8th ed. Cengage, 2019. doi: 10.1002/9781119409137.ch4.