

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] M. Castells, “Materials for an exploratory theories of the network society,” *Br. J. Sociol.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 5–24, 2000, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-4446.2000.00005.x.
- [2] N. M. F. Dianis Sviri and K. D. Arlinayanti, “Perubahan Paradigma Pendidikan Melalui Pemanfaatan Teknologi di Era Global,” *Metta J. Ilmu Multidisiplin*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 50–63, 2024, doi: 10.37329/metta.v4i3.3407.
- [3] D. Oktareza, A. Noor, E. Saputra, and ..., “Transformasi Digital 4.0: Inovasi yang Menggerakkan Perubahan Global,” *J. Hukum, Sos.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 661–672, 2024, [Online]. Available: <https://journal.lps2h.com/cendekia/article/view/98%0Ahttps://journal.lps2h.com/cendekia/article/download/98/78>
- [4] D. A. Putri, “Transformasi Digital Dalam Era Globalisasi,” *Business, Manag. Account. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 87–90, 2024, [Online]. Available: <http://putrajawa.co.id/ojs/index.php/jebmass>
- [5] R. Suryanti, J. Jahidin, and M. Fadlil, “Artificial Intelligence in Education: Bibliometric and Systematic Literature Review from 2019 – 2024,” *Int. Educ. Trend Issues*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 231–255, 2024, doi: 10.56442/ieti.v2i2.647.
- [6] D. Setiawan, E. A. D. Karuniawati, and S. I. Janty, “Peran Chat Gpt (Generative Pre-Training Transformer) Dalam Implementasi Ditinjau Dari Dataset,” *Innov. J. Soc. Sci. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 9527–9539, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://j-innovative.org/index.php/Innovative/article/view/3286>
- [7] I. H. D. Nugroho, “Data Penggunaan AI di Indonesia 2024: Tren dan Statistik,” *dibimbing*. [Online]. Available: <https://dibimbing.id/blog/detail/data-penggunaan-ai-di-indonesia-tren-dan-statistik>
- [8] Admin, “Data Pengguna AI di Indonesia Update Terbaru,” *Garuda Website*. [Online]. Available: <https://www.garuda.website/blog/data-pengguna-ai-indonesia/>
- [9] A. A. Moșoi, C. I. Maican, A. M. Cazan, and S. Sumedrea, “Do students need

- to think hard? The interplay of AI and cognitive abilities in solving problems,” *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10639-025-13738-8.
- [10] “Artificial Intelligence - Indonesia,” statistica. [Online]. Available: <https://www.statista.com/outlook/tmo/artificial-intelligence/indonesia>
- [11] “Estimated share of job tasks performed with AI-powered solutions and tools in Indonesia as of November 2023 with projections for 2028, by type of worker,” statistica.
- [12] A. Fauzan and S. Sudirman, “Evaluasi kinerja AI Prompt dalam proses pembuatan Video Game pada Game Engine GDevelop,” *Bul. Sist. Inf. dan Teknol. Islam*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 67–73, 2025, doi: 10.33096/busiti.v6i2.2798.
- [13] A. Sriram, “Ghibli effect: ChatGPT usage hits record after rollout of viral feature,” Reuters. [Online]. Available: https://www.reuters.com/technology/artificial-intelligence/ghibli-effect-chatgpt-usage-hits-record-after-rollout-viral-feature-2025-04-01/?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- [14] C. M. Annur, “Survei: ChatGPT Jadi Aplikasi AI Paling Banyak Digunakan di Indonesia,” databoks. [Online]. Available: <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/infografik/2023/06/26/survei-chatgpt-jadi-aplikasi-ai-paling-banyak-digunakan-di-indonesia>
- [15] B. Team, “ChatGPT / Open AI Statistics: How Many People Use ChatGPT?” [Online]. Available: https://backlinko.com/chatgpt-stats?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- [16] “Pricing.” [Online]. Available: <https://chatgpt.com/pricing>
- [17] D. Patel, “The Inference Cost Of Search Disruption – Large Language Model Cost Analysis \$30B Of Google Profit Evaporating Overnight, Performance Improvement With H100 TPUv4 TPUv5,” semianalysis. [Online]. Available: <https://semianalysis.com/2023/02/09/the-inference-cost-of-search-disruption/>
- [18] “Open AI Faces Financial Challenges Amid Market Shifts,” QUANTA INTELLIGENCE. [Online]. Available: https://quantaintelligence.ai/2024/10/23/financial-services/Open_AI-faces-financial-challenges-amid-market-shifts

- [19] A. Maruccia, “Open AI launches \$50M NextGenAI consortium to fund AI-based research and education,” TECHSPOT. [Online]. Available: [https://www.techspot.com/news/107045-Open AI-launches-50m-nextgenai-consortium-fund-ai-based.html](https://www.techspot.com/news/107045-Open-AI-launches-50m-nextgenai-consortium-fund-ai-based.html)
- [20] “ChatGPT — Release Notes.” [Online]. Available: <https://help.OpenAI.com/en/articles/6825453-chatgpt-release-notes>
- [21] M. Borchgrevink, “The Burden of Subscribing: How Young People Experience Digital News Subscriptions,” *Taylor Fr.*, vol. 24, no. 8, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1461670X.2023.2196584>
- [22] T. Wu, N. Jiang, S. P. Sharif, and M. Chen, “Explaining subscription intentions for video streaming platforms in China: Integrating the UTAUT2 model, perceived value theories, and S-O-R theories,” *PLoS One*, vol. 20, no. 5 May, pp. 1–28, 2025, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0322860.
- [23] D. Menon and K. Shilpa, “‘Chatting with ChatGPT’: Analyzing the factors influencing users’ intentions to Use the Open AI’s ChatGPT using the UTAUT model,” *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 11, p. e20962, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20962.
- [24] A. M. K. Al-Zabadi and M.-I. R. Jaradat, “Understand that Adoption and Use of ChatGPT Technology in Private Jordanian Universities Using the UTAUT Model: From the Students’s Perspective,” *Open J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 13, no. 04, pp. 2941–2964, 2025, doi: 10.4236/ojbm.2025.134155.
- [25] J. Randa Liling and S. Anas Aklani, “Analysis of ChatGPT Usage to Support Student Lecture Assignments,” *J. Fasilkom*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 599–604, 2023, doi: 10.37859/jf.v13i3.6254.
- [26] D. Setyo Widodo, D. Rachmawati, H. Wijaya, A. Maghfuriyah, and U. Udriya, “AI Adoption in Higher Education Institution: An Integrated TAM and TOE Model,” *Dinasti Int. J. Educ. Manag. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1029–1039, 2024, doi: 10.38035/dijemss.v6i2.3645.
- [27] S. F. Wang and C. C. Chen, “Exploring Designer Trust in Artificial Intelligence-Generated Content: TAM/TPB Model Study,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 16, 2024, doi: 10.3390/app14166902.

- [28] L. Hu, M. Chen, and N. Ding, “Factors influencing digital media designers’ subscription to premium versions of AI drawing tools through a mixed methods study,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-99924-7.
- [29] E. D. S. M. D. McGovern, “Compliance–innovation: integrating qualities and compliance knowledge and practice,” Taylor & Francis. [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14783363.2014.914641>
- [30] B. M. E. de W. R. Batenburg, “The process and structure of user participation: a BPM system implementation case study,” emerald insight. [Online]. Available: <https://www.emerald.com/bpmj/article-abstract/20/1/107/257513/The-process-and-structure-of-user-participation-a?redirectedFrom=fulltext>
- [31] M. P. Caldas, “Management information systems: managing the digital firm,” *Rev. Adm. Contemp.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 223–223, 2003, doi: 10.1590/s1415-65552003000100014.
- [32] F. D. Davis, “Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology,” *MIS Q. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–339, 1989, doi: 10.2307/249008.
- [33] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, “User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view,” *MIS Q. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 425–478, 2003, doi: 10.2307/30036540.
- [34] A. S. Almogren, W. M. Al-Rahmi, and N. A. Dahri, “Exploring factors influencing the acceptance of ChatGPT in higher education: A smart education perspective,” *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 11, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e31887.
- [35] A. Kaplan, “Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who’s the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence,” *Bussines Horizons*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 15–25, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0007681318301393>
- [36] T. B. Brown *et al.*, “Language models are few-shot learners,” *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 2020-Decem, 2020.

- [37] Y. K. Dwivedi *et al.*, “‘So what if ChatGPT wrote it?’ Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 71, no. March, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642.
- [38] “ChatGPT: Optimizing language models for dialogue.,” Open AI. [Online]. Available: <https://OpenAI.com/blog/chatgpt>
- [39] D. Kalla, S. Kuraku, N. Smith, and F. Samaah, “Study and Analysis of Chat GPT and its Impact on Different Fields of Study,” *Int. J. Innov. Sci. Res. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 827–833, 2023, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7767675.
- [40] Nurul Hani, Putri Vidia Lestari, Hanenda Putri Zamora, Ratri Ismayanti, and Ito Setiawan, “Analisis Faktor Penerimaan Pengguna ChatGPT dengan Menggunakan Metode TAM pada Mahasiswa Universitas Amikom Purwokerto,” *Jupiter Publ. Ilmu Keteknikan Ind. Tek. Elektro dan Inform.*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 51–61, 2024, doi: 10.61132/jupiter.v2i6.612.
- [41] C. Yu, J. Yan, and N. Cai, “ChatGPT in higher education: factors influencing ChatGPT user satisfaction and continued use intentions,” *Front. Educ.*, vol. 9, no. May, pp. 1–11, 2024, doi: 10.3389/educ.2024.1354929.
- [42] O. A. Gansser and C. S. Reich, “A new accepted models for artificial intelligence with extensions to UTAUT2: An empirical study in 3 segment of application,” *Technol. Soc.*, vol. 65, p. 101535, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101535.
- [43] M. Al-Okaily, W. Mater, N. Matar, and F. S. Shiyab, “Understanding of ChatGPT adoption among business school students,” *Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 9, no. June, p. 100441, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100441.
- [44] N. A. Dahri *et al.*, “Extended TAM based acceptance of AI-Powered ChatGPT for supporting metacognitive selfregulated learning in education: A mixedmethods study,” *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. e29317, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29317.
- [45] S. Grassini, M. L. Aasen, and A. Møgelvang, “Understanding University Students’ Acceptance of ChatGPT: Insights from the UTAUT2 Model,” *Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 38, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.1080/08839514.2024.2371168.

- [46] N. E. Carissa, M. Erlangga, C. S. Evik, and P. W. Handayani, "The Influence of Perceived Usefulness, Satisfaction, and Personalization on Subscription Video on Demand Continuance Intentions," *CommIT J.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 169–184, 2023, doi: 10.21512/commit.v17i2.8446.
- [47] M. L. Barata and P. S. Coelho, "Music streaming services: understand that drivers of customer purchased and intentions to recommend," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 8, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07783.
- [48] A. Pratita, T. L. M. Suryanto, A. Pratama, and A. Wibowo, "ChatGPT in Education: Investigating Students Online Learning Behaviors," *Int. J. Inf. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 510–524, 2025, doi: 10.18178/ijiet.2025.15.3.2262.
- [49] R. A. Chaerul, "Analyzing User Expectation and Experience to Formulate Data-Driven Strategy for Elevating Paid Subscription Engagement in Ai Educational Tools," *Enrich. J. Multidiscip. Res. Dev.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 747–755, 2025, doi: 10.55324/enrichment.v3i4.452.
- [50] A. Choudhury and H. Shamszare, "The Impact of Performances expectancies, Workload, Risk, and Satisfaction on Trust in ChatGPT: Cross-Sectional Survey Analysis," *JMIR Hum. Factors*, vol. 11, 2024, doi: 10.2196/55399.
- [51] M. N. Chu, "Assessing the Benefits of ChatGPT for Business: An Empirical Study on Organizational Performance," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, no. July, pp. 76427–76436, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3297447.
- [52] A. Strzelecki, "Students' Acceptance of ChatGPT in Higher Education: An Extended Unified Theories of Acceptance and Use of Technology," *Innov. High. Educ.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 223–245, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10755-023-09686-1.
- [53] M. Alshurideh *et al.*, "Factors affecting ChatGPT use in education employing TAM: A Jordanian universities' perspective," *Int. J. Data Netw. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1599–1606, 2024, doi: 10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.3.007.
- [54] H. Moradi, "Integrating AI in higher education: factors influencing ChatGPT acceptance among Chinese university EFL students," *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1186/s41239-025-00530-4.

- [55] A. Biloš and B. Budimir, “Understand that Adoption Dynamics of ChatGPT among Generation Z: Insights from a Modified UTAUT2 Model,” *J. Theor. Appl. Electron. Commer. Res.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 863–879, 2024, doi: 10.3390/jtaer19020045.
- [56] A. Namatovu and M. Kyambade, “Leveraging AI in academia: university students’ adoption of ChatGPT for writing coursework (take home) assignments through the lens of UTAUT2,” *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p., 2025, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2025.2485522.
- [57] A. Najarian, “An Examination of Technology Accepted modelss for Chatbot Adoption in Startup E-businesses: A Narrative Review,” *Online) Int. J. Manag. Account. Econ.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 2383–2126, 2025.
- [58] S. G. C. Bahadur, P. Bhandari, S. K. Gurung, E. Srivastava, D. Ojha, and B. R. Dhungana, “Examining the role of socials influences, learning value and habit on students’ intentions to use ChatGPT: the moderating effect of information accuracy in the UTAUT2 model,” *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p., 2024, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2024.2403287.
- [59] S. Rahi, A. H. Ngah, and M. S. M. Suhod, “Unraveling the continue of subscribing Spotify Premium among University Students: The Extended UTAUT 2 Model,” *Int. J. Bus. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 46, no. 2, 2024, doi: 10.1504/ijbis.2024.10064794.
- [60] E. R. Morales Caluña, D. J. Cervantes Diaz, C. I. Morales Caluña, and F. X. Altamirano Capelo, “Factors Influencing Satisfaction and Continued Use Intentions of ChatGPT in the Academic Context: Analysis Using Structural Equations Modeling,” *Data Metadata*, vol. 4, p. 728, 2025, doi: 10.56294/dm2025728.
- [61] C. Wang *et al.*, “An empirical evaluation of technology accepted models for Artificial Intelligence in E-commerce,” *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 8, p. e18349, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18349.
- [62] A. Of *et al.*, “Multitek Indonesia : Jurnal Ilmiah CHAT GPT TO MEASURE THE CRITICAL THINKING POWER OF,” vol. 6223, no. 1, pp. 85–96, 2025.
- [63] P. M. Cortez, A. K. S. Ong, J. F. T. Diaz, J. D. German, and S. J. S. Singh

- Jagdeep, “Analyzing Preceding factors affecting behavioral intentions on communicational artificial intelligence as an educational tool,” *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e25896, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25896.
- [64] B. G. Acosta-Enriquez, M. A. Arbulú Ballesteros, O. Huamaní Jordan, C. López Roca, and K. Saavedra Tirado, “Analysis of college students’ attitudes toward the use of ChatGPT in their academic activities: effect of intent to use, verification of information and responsible use,” *BMC Psychol.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40359-024-01764-z.
- [65] M. Aranyosy, “Technology Adoption in the Digital Entertainment Industry during the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Extended UTAUT2 Model for Online Theater Streaming,” *Informatics*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.3390/informatics9030071.
- [66] Oktaviani, “Analisa Dampak Penggunaan Chat Generative Pre-Training Transformer (GPT) Dilingkungan Universitas Hang Tuah Pekanbaru Menggunakan Metode Technology Accepted models (TAM),” *J. Fasilkom*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 394–403, 2024, doi: 10.37859/jf.v14i2.7413.
- [67] Y. Shaengchart, N. Bhumpenpein, K. Kongnakorn, P. Khwannu, A. Tiwtakul, and S. Detmee, “Factors Influencing the Acceptance of ChatGPT Usage Among Higher Education Students in Bangkok, Thailand,” *Adv. Knowl. Exec.*, vol. 2.4, no. 28, pp. 1–14, 2023.
- [68] S. M. A. Alam, “Exploring Public University Undergraduate Students’ Experiencing Intentions to Use ChatGPT in Academic Purpose: Application of TAM Theories,” *J. Inf. Syst. Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1413–1434, 2024, doi: 10.51519/journalisi.v6i3.789.
- [69] I. Ventre and D. Kolbe, “The Impact of Perceived Usefulness of Online Reviews, Trust and Perceived Risk on Online Purchased Intentions in Emerging Markets: A Mexican Perspective,” *J. Int. Consum. Mark.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 287–299, 2020, doi: 10.1080/08961530.2020.1712293.
- [70] M. Harrigan, K. Feddema, S. Wang, P. Harrigan, and E. Diot, “How trust leads to online purchased intentions founded in perceived usefulness and peer communication,” *J. Consum. Behav.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 1297–1312, 2021, doi: 10.1002/cb.1936.

- [71] S. J. Yoon and M. Y. Kim, “A Study on the Improvement Direction of Artificial Intelligence Speakers Applying DeLone and McLean’s Information System Success Model,” *Hum. Behav. Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/2683458.
- [72] R. R. Nugroho and A. Kasofi, “STUDI PENERAPAN MODEL DELONE AND MCLEAN UNTUK MENGUKUR KESUKSESAN GENERATIVE AI DALAM OPERASIONAL UMKM DI JAKARTA,” vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 457–475, 2025.
- [73] S. Okazaki and F. Mendez, “Perceived Ubiquity in Mobile Services,” *J. Interact. Mark.*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 98–111, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.intmar.2012.10.001.
- [74] A. Anwar, N. Thongpapanl, and A. R. Ashraf, “Strategic imperatives of mobile commerce in developing countries: the influence of consumer innovativeness, ubiquity, perceived value, risk, and cost on usage,” *J. Strateg. Mark.*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 722–742, 2021, doi: 10.1080/0965254X.2020.1786847.
- [75] F. Fahri, N. Afifah, J. Juniwati, B. B. Purmono, and A. Ahmadi, “Comparative study of the factors that influence Behavioral Intentionss in DANA and OVO users,” *J. Manag. Digit. Bus.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 105–121, 2025, doi: 10.53088/jmdb.v5i1.1332.
- [76] C. Kaatz, “Retail in my pocket– replicating and extending the construct of service qualities into the mobile commerce context,” *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.*, vol. 53, no. March 2019, p. 101983, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101983.
- [77] P. H. H. Nababan and Kurniawati, “The Influence of M-Commerce Ubiquity and Web Design on Brand Trust M-Commerce Usage Behavior in QRIS Application Users Moderated by Product Reputation,” *J. Econ. Resour.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 95–106, 2025, doi: 10.57178/jer.v8i1.1214.
- [78] I. K. Mensah, S. Adams, and C. Luo, “Understand that Moderating Impact of Context Awareness and Ubiquity on Mobile Government Service Adoption,” *Int. J. Inf. Syst. Serv. Sect.*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.4018/IJISS.287581.

- [79] A. Elsafty and A. Boghdady, “The Cognitive Determinants Influencing Consumer Purchased-Intentions Towards Subscription Video on Demand (SVoD): Case of Egypt,” *Int. J. Mark. Stud.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 95, 2022, doi: 10.5539/ijms.v14n1p95.
- [80] T. Um, N. Chung, and J. Stienmetz, *Factors affecting consumers’ impulsive buying behavior in tourism mobile commerce using SEM and fsQCA*, vol. 29, no. 2. 2023. doi: 10.1177/13567667221090991.
- [81] B. Ramkumar and H. Woo, “Modeling consumers’ intentions to use fashion and beauty subscription-based online services (SOS),” *Fash. Text.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s40691-018-0137-1.
- [82] R. Reyvina and H. K. Tunjungsari, “The Effect of Consumer Innovativeness on Purchased Intentions of New Smartphone with Vicarious Innovativeness and Perceived Value as Mediations,” *Proc. tenth Int. Conf. Entrep. Bus. Manag. 2021 (ICEBM 2021)*, vol. 653, no. Icebm 2021, pp. 582–588, 2022, doi: 10.2991/aebmr.k.220501.088.
- [83] N. Shanmugavel and M. Micheal, “Exploring the marketing related stimuli and personel inovativeness on the purchased intentions of electric vehicles through Technology Acceptanced models,” *Clean. Logist. Supply Chain*, vol. 3, no. January, p. 100029, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.clscn.2022.100029.
- [84] J. M. Lopes, L. F. Silva, and I. Massano-Cardoso, “AI Meets the Shopper: Psychosocial Factors in Ease of Use and Their Effect on E-Commerce Purchased Intentions,” *Behav. Sci. (Basel)*, vol. 14, no. 7, 2024, doi: 10.3390/bs14070616.
- [85] N. D. Pratista and E. G. Marsasi, “Effects Of Perceived Usefullnesss And Perceived Ease Of Use For Driving Purchased Intentions,” *J. Ekon.*, vol. 28, no. 03, pp. 488–509, 2023, [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24912/jm.v28i3.1940>
- [86] D. M. R. Fachrulamry and H. Hendrayati, “Perceived Ease of Use on Purchased Intentions of Mobile Commerce Application,” *Proc. 5th Glob. Conf. Business, Manag. Entrep. (GCBME 2020)*, vol. 187, no. Gebme 2020, pp. 512–516, 2021, doi: 10.2991/aebmr.k.210831.100.
- [87] S. Z. Liang, J. L. Xu, and E. Huang, “Comprehensive Analysis of the Effect

- of Socials influences and Brand Image on Purchased Intentions,” *SAGE Open*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2024, doi: 10.1177/21582440231218771.
- [88] H. Zhang, B. Li, B. Hu, and P. Ai, “Exploring the Role of Personal innovativeness on Purchased Intentions of Artificial Intelligence Products: An Investigation Using Socials influences Theories and Value-Based Adoption Model,” *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.*, vol. 7318, 2025, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2025.2513037.
- [89] J. Hamari, N. Hanner, and J. Koivisto, ““Why pay premium in freemium services?” A study on perceived value, continued use and purchased intentions in free-to-play games,” *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 51, no. November 2019, p. 102040, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.102040.
- [90] J. Kang, C. Su, J. Lan, and L. Chen, “Effects of the Subscription-Based Partitioned Pricing Strategy of Digital Content Platforms on User Willingness to Purchased,” *J. Theor. Appl. Electron. Commer. Res.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 3305–3330, 2024, doi: 10.3390/jtaer19040160.
- [91] A. Merabet, “The Effect of Country-of-Origin Image on Purchased Intentions. The Mediating Role of Perceived Qualities and Perceived Price,” *Eur. J. Bus. Manag. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 38–49, 2020, doi: 10.24018/ejbmr.2020.5.6.589.
- [92] X. Wu, J. Xiong, J. Yan, and Y. Wang, “Perceived qualities of traceability information and its effect on purchased intentions towards organic food,” *J. Mark. Manag.*, vol. 37, no. 13–14, pp. 1267–1286, 2021, doi: 10.1080/0267257X.2021.1910328.
- [93] Y. Bai and S. Wang, “Impact of generative AI interaction and output qualities on university students’ learning outcomes: a technology-mediated and motivation-driven approach,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-08697-6.
- [94] O. Boubker, “From chatting to selfeducating: Can AI tools boost student learning outcomes?,” Elsevier. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0957417423023229?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- [95] S. Jauk, D. Kramer, A. Avian, A. Berghold, W. Leodolter, and S. Schulz,

- “Technology Acceptance of a Machine Learning Algorithm Predicting Delirium in a Clinical Setting: a MixedMethods Study,” *J. Med. Syst.*, vol. 45, no. 4, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10916-021-01727-6.
- [96] Y. Mao, J. Rafner, Y. Wang, and J. Sherson, “HI-TAM, a hybrid intelligence framework for training and adoption of generative design assistants,” *Front. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 6, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fcomp.2024.1460381.
- [97] S. A. Qalati, E. G. Vela, W. Li, S. A. Dakhan, T. T. Hong Thuy, and S. H. Merani, “Effects of perceived service qualities, website qualities, and reputation on purchased intentions: The mediating and moderating roles of trust and perceived risk in online shopping,” *Cogent Bus. Manag.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2020.1869363.
- [98] J. H. Kim, J. Kim, C. Kim, and S. Kim, “Do you trust ChatGPTs? Effects of the ethical and qualities issues of generative AI on travel decisions,” *J. Travel Tour. Mark.*, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 779–801, 2023, doi: 10.1080/10548408.2023.2293006.
- [99] C. Der Chen, Q. Zhao, and J. L. Wang, “How livestreaming increases product sales: role of trust transfer and elaboration likelihood model,” *Behav. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 558–573, 2022, doi: 10.1080/0144929X.2020.1827457.
- [100] S. Alghamdi and Y. Alhasawi, “Exploring The Factors Influencing the Adoption of ChatGPT in Educational Institutions: Insights from Innovation Resistance Theories,” *J. Appl. Data Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 474–490, 2024, doi: 10.47738/jads.v5i2.198.
- [101] D. Novian, H. A., and R. Sudirman, “Pengaruh Penggunaan Teknologi ChatGPT Terhadap Kualitas Tugas Siswa Kelas X Di SMA Gorontalo,” *VOCATECH Vocat. Educ. Technol. J.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 62–70, 2024, doi: 10.38038/vocatech.v6i1.178.
- [102] N. I. Mohd Rahim, N. A. Iahad, A. F. Yusof, and M. A. Al-Sharafi, “AI-Based Chatbots Adoption Model for Higher-Education Institutions: A Hybrid PLS-SEM-Neural Network Modelling Approach,” *Sustain.*, vol. 14, no. 19, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su141912726.
- [103] A. Kadim and N. Sunardi, “Financial Management System (QRIS) based on

- UTAUT Model Approach in Jabodetabek,” *Int. J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.29099/ijair.v6i1.282.
- [104] M. Yusuf, “Peran Artificial Intelligence (AI) sebagai Pendukung Otomatisasi Perpustakaan M.,” *Pustakaloka*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 41–57, 2024, doi: 10.21154/pustakaloka.v16i1.7516.
- [105] M. A. Arbulú Ballesteros *et al.*, “The Sustainable Integration of AI in Higher Education: Analyzing ChatGPT Acceptance Factors Through an Extended UTAUT2 Framework in Peruvian Universities,” *Sustain.*, vol. 16, no. 23, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su162310707.
- [106] M. Prensky, “Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants Part 1,” *Horiz.*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1–6, 2001, doi: 10.1108/10748120110424816.
- [107] W. Afriansyah and M. A. Matondang, “Analisis Chat GPT untuk Mendukung Proses Belajar Mahasiswa Ilmu Komunikasi Semester Akhir UIN Sumatera Utara Medan,” *Ideas J. Pendidikan, Sos. dan Budaya*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 529, 2024, doi: 10.32884/ideas.v10i3.1843.
- [108] P. Sanbein, “Systematic review of archivists’ evolving ethics in the age of artificial intelligence,” *J. Kaji. Inf. Perpust.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 115–132, 2025, doi: 10.24198/jkip.v13i1.62811.
- [109] H. Margono, M. Saud, and M. Falahat, “Virtual Tutor, Digital Natives and AI: Analyzing the impact of ChatGPT on academia in Indonesia,” *Soc. Sci. Humanit. Open*, vol. 10, no. July, p. 101069, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101069.
- [110] S. Chatterjee, R. Chaudhuri, D. Vrontis, A. Thrassou, and S. K. Ghosh, “Adoption of artificial intelligence-integrated CRM systems in agile organizations in India,” *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 168, no. March, p. 120783, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120783.
- [111] S. An, T. Eck, and H. Yim, “Understanding Consumers’ Acceptance Intentions to Use Mobile Food Delivery Applications through an Extended Technology Accepted models,” *Sustain.*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15010832.
- [112] M. Al-Okaily, “Attitudes toward the adoption of accounting analytics technology in the digital transformation landscape,” *J. Account. Organ.*

- Chang.*, 2025, [Online]. Available: <https://www.emerald.com/jaoc/article-abstract/21/3/593/1251722/Attitudes-toward-the-adoption-of-accounting?redirectedFrom=fulltext>
- [113] J. Kim, M. Giroux, and J. C. Lee, “When do you trust AI? The effect of number presentation detail on consumer trust and acceptance of AI recommendations,” *Psychol. Mark.*, vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 1140–1155, 2021, doi: 10.1002/mar.21498.
- [114] S. Okazaki, “Social influences model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet,” *Int. J. Advert.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 439–472, 2009, doi: 10.2501/S0265048709200692.
- [115] Sugiyono, *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R & D*. 2020.
- [116] A. P. Manual, “SAMPLE SIZE DETERMINATION IN HEALTH STUDIES,” 1991.
- [117] U. Sekaran, “Metodologi Penelitian untuk Bisnis,” vol. 5, pp. 147–158, 2006.
- [118] H. Chauhan, A. Pandey, S. Mishra, and S. K. Rai, “Modeling the predictors of consumers’ online purchased intentions of green products: the role of personal innovativeness and environmental drive,” *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 16769–16785, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10668-021-01337-9.
- [119] C. M. Ringle and M. Sarstedt, *Partial Least Squares Structural Equations Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R*.
- [120] K. J. Nadia, D. B. Tambunan, and E. Yuniriyanti, “The Role of Perceived Ease of Use, Perceived Usefulness, Attitudes towards Using, and Compatibility on E-Money Usage Intentions: Study At Jombang, Indonesia,” *Soc. Sci. Humanit. J.*, vol. 9, no. 02, pp. 6739–6759, 2025, doi: 10.18535/sshj.v9i02.1642.
- [121] R. F. Denovan and E. G. Marsasi, “Perceived Ease Of Use, Perceived Usefulness And Satisfaction To Maximize Behavioral Intentions With The Technology Accepted models In Generation Y And Z Consumers,” *J. Pamator J. Ilm. Univ. Trunojoyo*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–36, 2025, doi: 10.21107/pamator.v18i1.29461.
- [122] S. A. Sabilla and I. M. B. Dirgantara, “Intentions In Buying Video- On-

- Demand Subscription Services,” *Econ. Bus. Horiz.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 393–400, 2025, doi: 10.54518/ebh.4.2.2025.683.
- [123] L. Musfira and B. Astuti, “Faktor – Aspek di mana Mempengaruhi Purchased Intentions,” *J. Inform. Ekon. Bisnis*, vol. 6, pp. 133–144, 2024, doi: 10.37034/infeb.v6i1.812.
- [124] G. M. Farhan and E. G. Marsasi, “The Influence of Information Qualities and Perceived Value on Purchased Intentions of Game shop E-commerce in Generation Z Based on Framing Theories,” *J. Pamator J. Ilm. Univ. Trunojoyo*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 620–631, 2023, doi: 10.21107/pamator.v16i3.21160.
- [125] A. E. Dangga, I. Bagus, N. Udayana, and P. D. Cahyani, “The Effect of Information Qualities and Brand Image on Shopee Food Repurchased Interest Mediated by Customer Trust,” vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1109–1118, 2024.
- [126] C. M. Gunawan, L. Rahmania, and I. H. Kenang, “the Influence of Socials influences and Peer Influence on Intentions To Purchased in E-Commerce,” *Rev. Manag. Entrep.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 61–84, 2023, doi: 10.37715/rme.v7i1.3683.
- [127] J. Shen, *Assessing Factors Influencing Consumer Purchased Intentions for Over-the-Top (OTT) Video Services in China: A Perceived Value Theories and UTAUT Perspective*. Atlantis Press International BV, 2023. doi: 10.2991/978-94-6463-142-5_33.
- [128] A. S. Paramita, “Social Commerce Purchased Intentions Factors in Developing Countries: a Systematic Literature Review,” *J. Appl. Eng. Technol. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 847–854, 2023, doi: 10.37385/jaets.v4i2.1585.
- [129] L. Han and X. Han, “The influence of price value on purchased intentions among patients with chronic diseases in medical e-commerce during the COVID-19 pandemic in China,” *Front. Public Heal.*, vol. 11, no. 5, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1081196.
- [130] D. M. Rahmawati, R. Ambarwati, and D. M. Turekulova, “How FOMO and Price Affect Online Purchased of Imitation Products,” *J. Winners*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 85–96, 2025, doi: 10.21512/tw.v26i1.12969.

- [131] K. N. Sanjaya and T. C. Efrata, “Pengaruh Personel inovativeness, Perceived Benefits, Dan Socials influences Terhadap Purchased Intentions Electric Vehicle,” *Performa*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 52–69, 2024, doi: 10.37715/jp.v9i2.3993.
- [132] M. Salim, R. S. Hayu, D. Agustintia, R. Annisa, and M. Y. I. Daulay, “The Effect of Trust, Perceived Risk and E-Service Qualities on the Intentions to Purchased of E-Commerce Consumers in Indonesia,” *J. Madani Soc.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 53–66, 2023, doi: 10.56225/jmsc.v2i1.178.
- [133] D. R. Thamanda, S. Mariam, and A. H. Ramli, “The Influence of Promotion and Perceived Trust on Purchased Intentions in Beauty Product E-Commerce,” *J. Ilm. Manaj. Kesatuan*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1295–1316, 2024, doi: 10.37641/jimkes.v12i4.2641.
- [134] S. Salloum *et al.*, “Sustainability Model for the Continuous Intentions to Use Metaverse Technology in Higher Education: A Case Study from Oman,” *Sustain.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1–19, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15065257.
- [135] M. Mauretta, O. Marisa Muzammil, and L. Andri Yulius Caesar, “The Effect of Perceived Qualities and Brand Awareness on Purchased Intentions Mediated by Brand Trust in Tropicana Slim Products,” *Siber Int. J. Digit. Bus.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 74–83, 2024, doi: 10.38035/sijdb.v2i1.84.
- [136] G. Widiar, A. Yuniarinto, and I. Yulianti, “Perceived Ease of Use’s Effects on Behavioral Intentions Mediated by Perceived Usefulness and Trust,” *Interdiscip. Soc. Stud.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1829–1844, 2023, doi: 10.55324/iss.v2i4.397.