



Euis Nurul Hidayah <euisnh.tl@upnjatim.ac.id>

Paper review

1 message

Bali IJCST <ijcst2017@gmail.com>
To: euisnh.tl@upnjatim.ac.id

Wed, Sep 6, 2017 at 9:13 PM

Dear authors,

We delighted inform you that your full paper has already been reviewed by scientific chair and could be presented orally with revision/or directly in The 2nd International Joint Conference on Science and Technology (IJCS)T 2017 which will be held on 27-28 September 2017 (*please see review results at attachment*)

Please send back the revision paper via email : ijcst2017@gmail.com, **with subject : Paper Revision_Author Name_ID** no later than 10 September 2017.

Thank you very much and See you soon in the conference

Best regards,

IJCS)T 2017 committee



REVIEW FORM IJCS)T 2017_5.doc

124K

REVIEW FORM IJCST 2017

Paper ID : ID_
Paper Title : **Effect of Permanganate Preoxidation to Natural Organic Matter and Disinfection by-Products Formation Potential Removal**
.....

First /correspondent : **Euis Nurul Hidayah**

Please fill "X" in the box for the chosen option

A. Evaluation Objects

Research Title (*). Does the paper title represent its content?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

Abstract (*). Does the abstract reflects the paper content?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

Methodology (*). Is the research methodology or the approach of the problem solving clearly described?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

Data Presentation & Interpretation (*). Do the data presentation and interpretation valid and reasonable?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

Tables & Pictures (*). Do the use of tables and figures help to clarify the explanation?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

Discussion & Analysis (*). Have the discussion and/or analysis been relevant with the results of the study?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

References (*). Are the references used relevant?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: yes
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: see comments

Language (*). How is the language written in the paper?

<input type="checkbox"/>	4: very good
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: good
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: fair
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: poor

Writing Accuracy (*). How is the grammar usage? Does the overall grammar usage has proper and accurate meaning?

<input type="checkbox"/>	4: very good
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3: good
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: fair
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: poor

B. Reviewers Decision

Final Decision (*). The final decision is that this paper:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4: Could be published directly
<input type="checkbox"/>	3: Could be published with minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/>	2: Could be published with major revision
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: Please return to us for reevaluation after revision
<input type="checkbox"/>	0: Is not worth to publish based on the above reasons

Reviewer Acknowledgement (*). Do you want your name, as reviewer, released to the author(s)?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2: no
<input type="checkbox"/>	1: yes

C. Comments about the Paper

Please provide a comment review

- The paper already good