JEAS 13_Characteristics of Natural Organic Matter (NONI) Surrogates Under Different Disinfection Processes

by Euis Nurul Hidayah

Submission date: 23-Nov-2020 11:34AM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 1454647337
File name: Bukti_Kinerja_JEAS_13_20_2018.docx (432.83K)
Word count: 2567
Character count: 14573

13 (20): 8372-8376, 2018
Joumal of Engineering and Applied Sciences
ISSN: 1816-949X
O Medwell Joumals, 2018

Characteristics of Natural Organic Matter (NONI) Surrogates Under Different Disinfection Processes

Okik Hendriyanto Cahyonugroho and Euis Nurul Hidayah
Department of Environmental Engineering, Universitas Pembangunan Xasional "Veteran", Jawa Timur, Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract: In this study, Natural Organic Matter (NOM) surrogates which is represented by Total Organic Carbon (TOC), absorption of UV-light (I_JV254) and Specific Ultraviolet Absorption (SUVA) value was used to characterize organic matter in water treatment process under different disinfection processes. The reduction of NOM surrogates was also examined at the same time. The results show that TOC has been reduced to 26 ^{0} 0 under coagulation with ferric chloride, insignificantly removal in sedimentation and 39^{0} 0 removal in filtration with activated carbon. During disinfection, TOC reduction is higher than other NOM surrogates. Effect of disinfection processes, dosage and reaction time to NOM surrogates reduction indicated that NOM decrease with increasing reaction time, increasing dosage caused increasing reduction of NOM and I-TV disinfection contributed to the higher degree processes of organic matter than chlorination clisinfection.

Key words: NOM, water treatment, disinfection, dosage, reaction time, sedimentation

INTRODUCTION

Investigations have been conducted to explore the components and characteristics of organic matter to determine its behavior in water and how to effectively remove it during treatment. The diversity of molecules that constitute Natural Organic Matter (NOM) and the relative low concentrations of NOM in water often makes characterization difficult. Thus, methods that can either accurately characterize NOM in these dilute solutions or isolate and concentrate NOM are essential. Despite the thousands of compounds that make up NOM, it is important to provide information about the quality of NOM that are dominant precursor for DBPs. Total Organic Carbon (TOC) is the sum of particulate and Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC), existing inorganic carbon is removed by acidification. A widely accepted operational definition of DOC is the organic carbon in the water sample filtered through a 0.45 um filter. It is the most commonly used approach to quantify NOM for measuring the organic carbon mass in a sample. Ultraviolet (UV) light at 254 nm is absorbed by a variety of organic compounds with an aromatic structure or compounds that have conjugated C C double bonds (Tran et al., 2015). Aquatic humic matter which is likely to be the predominant organic compounds has conjugated C =C double bonds structural features, so, they absorb more light per unit concentration of DOC than other types of NOM in water supplies. Specific Ultraviolet Absorption (SUVA) provides a simple way to characterize the nature of NOM and is calculated from measurements of I-TV254 and TOC samples. Although, water contain a mixture of types of NOM, the SUVA can provide an indication of what types of organic compounds clominate (Sillanpaa et al., 2015).

Disinfection, one of the water treatment process unit is necessary for the deliberate reduction of the number of the pathogenic microorganisms in order to prevent acute outbreaks of potentially deadly diseases and other deleterious health effects. However, an unintend consequence of disinfection process is production of Disinfectant By-Products (DBP when the existed NOM in water reacted with disinfectant, such as chlorine, ozone, chlorine dioxide and chloramines (Han et al., 2015). Trihalomethanes (THN1s) and Haloacetic Acids (HAAs) are probably the most prevalent DBPs and have been found to have carcinogenicity and other adverse health effects. One of the factors influencing the levels of DBPs formation is the characteristic of NOM, such as chemical or physical properties, to react with

Corresponding Author: Okik Hendfiyanto Cahyonugroho, Depaftnent of Environmental Engineering, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran", Jawa Timur, Surabaya, Indonesia

disinfectants (Reckhow and Singer, 2011; Hidayah et al., 2017). Ultraviolet (UV) disinfection has been well as effective method to inactivate microorganism without creating any toxic byproducts. CV light allows for higher quality of water standards without adding in any chemicals. However, UN" light has lack of residual in public water supply applications (Hi_inen et al., 2006).

Regarding to organic precursors material or NOM, the formation of DBPs does not only depend on the quantity of NOM but also its physical and chemical structure. The relationship relating to the formation of DBPs may be better understood and controlled by first gammg a better understanding of the NOM, naturally occurmg precursors that are the cause of their formation. The bulk NOM parameters, DOC, and SUVA value, also have been frequently correlated with DBPFP (Bieroza et al., 201 C); Hidayah et al., 2017). In this study, NOM surrogates was used to characterize the NOM in the source water and treated water from coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection with chlorine and UV light. At the same time, the removal of NOM surrogates by two different disinfection method was also examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Raw water samples was taken from Jagir River in Surabaya, Indonesia. Laboratory scale of water treatment apparatus included coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection, it was performed under flow rate 30 L/h. FeC13 6H20 coagulant 200 mgL dosage was added under rapid mixing 150 rpm, followed by slow mixing 35 rpm, settling flocs in sedimentation, then filtered through activated carbon. Disinfection is applied by various disinfectant under different dosage and different contact time. Various dosage of sodium hypochlorite (N) is 20, 40, 60 (mg/L) with reaction time l, 2, 4, 8, 16 (h) have been applied for disinfection process, instead of various UV light intensity (U) 20, 40, 60 (mJ/cm^2) with exposure time 0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 (h). Raw water and treated water were collected for organic carbon analysis. Raw water sample and treated water were filtered through 0.45 m membrane filter paper and were measured as Total Organic Carbon (TOC) by using a Shimadzu TOC-VÜ?N organic carbon analyzer. I_JV254 absorption was measured with UV-VIS spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV-1601 to detect aromaticity properties of organic compound. In order to provide an indication of what type of organic compounds dominate, SUVA value also was calculated based on the I_JV254 over to TOC concentration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of source water: The general water quality of Jagir river as source water is shown in Table I .

It is noted that pH 6.8 indicated normal pH in raw water. According to organic matter surrogates, TOC value indicates that the source water has quite high dissolved organic content. I_JVB value is 3.3, it has been known and

Table 1: Characteristics af source water

	Water quality			
Sample	pH	TOC (mg/L)	UV_{254} (cm^{-1})	SUVA (L/mg-m)
Source water	6.8	5.4	0.180	3.33

attributed to aromatic compound because CV light at 254 nm is adsorbed by organic with aromatic structure that have conjugated C C clouble bond (Tran et al., 2015). Further, the SINA value indicates that the dissolved organics is rich in hydrophilic compounds. As certain types of NOM adsorb I_JV:.; light per unit concentratlon of DOC to a great degree than other types, SUVA is an Indicator of NOM composition in water. It have been reported that water samples with SINA values higher than 4, indicate that NOM is composed mainly of aquatic humic matter, while water samples with SINA values lower than 2 contain mainly non-humic matter which generally is more hydrophilic, compare to humic matter (Sillanpaa et al., 2015).

Characteristic of NOM surrogates for water treatment processes: Figure 1 shows the NOM surrogates reduction of water samples collected from laboratory scale of water treatment processes. TOC concentration of raw water have been reduced to 26 ^{0}0 in the coagulation with Ferric Chloride (FeC13). Ferric salts commonly used in coagulation processes include Ferric Chloride (FeC13). Trivalent femc ions are released into a solution from the respective salt. They are hydrolysed and form soluble complexes possessmg high positive charges (Johnson and Amiltarajah, 1983). Also, it has been well-established in the literature that coagulation is more amenable to remove organic matter than any processes (Hidayah et al., 201 6; Wang et al., 2013; Sillanpaa and Matilainen, 2015) Fulther, sedimentation slightly reduced TOC to 29.6 ^{0}0. TOC removal reached h_igh removal to 38.9% after filtration with sand and activated carbon media.

Research by Cahyonugroho et al. (2016) showed that activated carbon which has higher pore volume, higher inner pore size and extremely higher surface area than silica sand, influenced the adsorptive capacity to remove NOM. Regarding to molecular weight, Low Molecular Weight (LN/IW) NOM constituents have access to a large percentage of the activated carbon pore volume and thus could be well removed based on size considerations (Velten et al., 2011). However, LMW compounds may also be relatively hydrophilic, hence, less adsorbable (Sillanpaa and Matilainen, 2015). The percentage removal of TOC by NaOCl in disinfection is almost similar with I-A," process, about 40-50 ^{0}0 under clifferent dosage. oxidation of organic matter in (Kim and Yu, 2005; Liu et al., 2009). The percentage reduction in both disinfection indicated that about half of organic matter which is high molecular weight, could be oxidized into lower molecular and the remain organic matter could be characterized as low molecular weight compound. Meanwhile, comparison among TOC, UV and SIXA value removal showed that UV removal resulted the highest reduction, on average 60%. This can be explained by the fact that compounds containing aromatic structure and conjugated C C double bond of NOM absorb more UV light per unit concentration of DOC than the general NOM molecules (Hidayah et al., 2016).



Fig. 1 : Reduction of NOM surrogates across water treatment processes with: a) Chlorination and b) CV disinfection

disinfection could breakdown high molecular weight to lower molecular weight, even at higher dosage NOM has been oxidized further into more hydrophilic

Effect of disinfection processes, dosage and reaction time to nom surrogates reduction: Figure 2 shows NOM surrogates reduction, as compared to that of the initial

concentration from filtration effluent, under different disinfection processes, dosage and reaction time. First, it can be seen that the TOC, I_JV:,; and SUVA value decrease with increasing reaction time. Reaction time attributed to the exposure or contact time of organic matter with disinfectant. The longer exposure time will give longer oxidation process of organic matter and causes degradation of high molecular weight into lower molecular (Ednvald and Tobiason, 2011).

Second, Fig. 2 reveals that increasing dosage caused increasing reduction of TOC, I_X:,; and SINA value. It shows that TOC has a much higher reduction than all other NOM sunogates (I_JV:,; and SUVA value), about 63% in UV disinfection. The results showed a contradiction over the characteristic of NOM surrogates during water treatment processes (Fig. l) which shows



Fig 2: NOM surrogates reduction under different disinfection processes, dosage and reaction time: a) Chlorination and b) UV light

I_JV254 has the highest removal. It seems that high molecular weight, including aromatic compound had been breakdown into lower molecular prior to disinfection process, therefore, lower molecular weight and aliphatic were more identified cluring disinfection than high molecular weight and aromatic compound.

Third, comparison between disinfection with chlorination and UV light in all NOM surrogates revealed that IN disinfection has higher reduction than disinfection with NaOCl. It indicated that UV process is more amenable to reduce organic matter than disinfection with NaOCl. It could be explained that UV light transmitted into a water is absorbed by nucleic acids of a microorganism, it causes damage to the genome, rendering the microbes unable to replicate (Linden and Rosenfeldt, 2011 It means that organic matter compound which is derived from microbes will decrease. In addition, molecular size distribution of NOM shifts toward smaller molecules during IN irradiation at levels typical of drinking water disinfection (Reckhow and Singer, 2011). Changes in NOM size and functional group content would be expected to have an impact on organic matter characteristic in treated water. Over all, UV light contributed to the higher degree processes of organic matter than chlorination disinfection.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results from the NOM ssun•ogates of the source water and treated water from FeC13 coagulation, sedimentation, activated carbon filtration and two different disinfection processes, namely: chlorination and UV, it reveals that, among all NOM surrogates, I-N removal resulted the highest reduction, on average 60^0 0. Effect of disinfection processes, closage and reaction time to NOM surrogates reduction indicated that NOM decrease with increasing reaction time, Increasing dosage caused increasing reduction of NOM and LTV disinfection contributed to the higher degree processes of organic matter than chlorination disinfection. Even, TOC has a much higher reduction, about 63 ^{0} than all other NOM surrogates (UV254 and SUVA value).

ACKNOmÆDGEMENTS

The financial support provided for this study by the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education Indonesia in Applied Product Grant with Contract No: 092/SP2H/LT/DRPWIV/2017.

REFERENCES

Bieroza, M., A. Baker and J. Bridgeman, 2010. Fluorescence spectroscopy as a tool for determination of organic matter removal efficiency at water treatment works. Drinking Water Eng. Sci., 3: 63-70.

Cahyonugroho, O.H., E.N. Hidayah and Y.S. Pumomo, 2016. Efficiency of NOM removal after coagulation-filtration process using fluorencence regional integration method. Intl. J. Res. Sci. Manage., 3: 1-6.

Edzwald, J.K and J.E. Tobiason, 2011. Chemical Principles,

Source Water Composition and Watershed Protection. In: Water Quality and Treatment: A Handbook on Drinking Water, Edzwald, J.K. (Ed.).

McGraw-Hill Educatiow New York, USA., pp: I -76. Han, Q., H. Yan, F. Zhang, N. Xue and Y. wang et al., 2015. Trihalomethanes (THMs) precursor fractions removal by coagulation and adsorption for bio-treated municipal wastewater: Molecular weight, hydrophobicity-hyclrophily and fluorescence. J. Hazard. Mater., 297: 119-126.

Hidayalu E.N., Y.C. Chou and H.H. 2016. Using HPSEC to identify NOM fraction removal and the correlation with disinfection by-product precursors. Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply, 16: 305-313.

Hidayah, E.N., Y.C. Chou anciH.H. 2017. Comparison between HPSEC-OCD and F-EEMs for assessing DBPs formation in water. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A, 52: 391-402.

Hijneu W.A.M., E.F. Beerendonk and G.J. Medema, 2006. Inactivation credit of UV radiation for viruses, bacteria and protozoan (00) cysts in water: A review. Water Res., 40: 3-22.

Johnson, P.N. and A.P.P.I.A.H. Amiltharajah, 1983. Ferric chloride and alum as single and dual coagulants. J. Am. Water Works Assoc., 75: 232-239.

Kim, H.C. and M.J. Yu, 2005. Characterization of natural orgamc matter in conventional water treatment processes for selection of treatment processes focused on DBPs control. Water Res., 39: 4779-4789.

Linden, K.G. and E.J. Rosenfeldt, 2011. I_ntraviolet Light Processes. In: Water Quality and Treatment: A Handbook on Drinking Water. Eclzwald, J 1K. (Ed.). McGraw-Hill Education, New York, CSA. , pp: 1-45.

Liu, H., F. Cheng and D. Wang, 2009. Interaction of ozone and organic matter in coagulation with inorganic polymer flocculant-PACl: Role of organic components. Desalin., 249: 596-601.

Reckhow, D. and P.L. Singer, 2011 . Formation and Control ofDi*infection By-Products. In: Water Quality and Treatment: A Handbook on Drinking Water, Ednvald, J.K. (Ed.). McGraw-Hill Education, New York, USA., pp 1-59.

Sillanpaa, NL and A. Nlatilainen, 2015. NOM Removal by Coagulation. In: Natural Organic Matter in Water: (hracte.lization and Treatment Method, Silaanpaa, M. (Ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, pp: 55-80.

Sillanpaa, M., A. Matilainen and T. Lahtinen, 2015. Characterization of NOM. In: Natural Organic Matter in Water: Characterization and Treatment Method, Silaanpaa, M.(

Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, I_x., pp: 17-53.

Tran, N.H., H.H. Ngo, T. Urase and K.Y.H. Gin, 2015. A critical review on characterization strategies of organic matter for wastewater and water treatment processes. Bioresour. Technol., 193: 523-533.

Velteu S., DR. Knappe, J. Traber, H.P. Kaiser and U. V. Gunten et al., 2011. Characterization of natural organic matter adsorption m granular activated carbon adsorbers. Water Res., 45: 3951-3959.

Wang, D.S., Y.M. Zhao, M.Q. Yan and C.W.K. Chow, 2013. Removal ofDBP precursors m micro-polluted source waters: A comparative study on the enhanced coagulation behavior. Sep. Purif. Technol., 118: 271-278.

JEAS 13_Characteristics of Natural Organic Matter (NONI) Surrogates Under Different Disinfection Processes

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%	12%	14%	3%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	epdf.pub Internet Source	7%
2	citeseerx.ist.psu.edu Internet Source	2%
3	Matilainen, A.. "Natural organic matter removal by coagulation during drinking water treatment: A review", Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 20100915 Publication	2%
4	Submitted to Bronx High School of Science Student Paper	2%
5	www.nwri-usa.org Internet Source	2%
6	Silvana Velten, Detlef R.U. Knappe, Jacqueline Traber, Hans-Peter Kaiser, Urs von Gunten, Markus Boller, Sébastien Meylan. "Characterization of natural organic matter adsorption in granular activated carbon	1%

adsorbers", Water Research, 2011
Publication

---

7 Chao Chen, Xiaojian Zhang, Lingxia Zhu, Wenjie He, Hongda Han. "Changes in different organic matter fractions during conventional treatment and advanced treatment", Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2011 — 1%
Publication

---

8 Xiaoyang Chen, Lisitai Yang, Satish C.B. Myneni, Yang Deng. "Leaching of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from sewage sludge-derived biochar", Chemical Engineering Journal, 2019 — 1%
Publication

---

9 Ibrahim, Nurazim, and Hamidi Abdul Aziz. "Trends on Natural Organic Matter in Drinking Water Sources and its Treatment", International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Sciences, 2014. — 1%
Publication

---

10 Xiaomin Tang, Huaili Zheng, Chun Zhao, Jun Zhai, Bingzhi Liu, Wei Chen, Zhengan Zhang, Fang Li. "Removal of dissolved organic matter from algae-polluted surface water by coagulation", Desalination and Water Treatment, 2016 — 1%
Publication

11	Xiaoxiao Zhang, Zhonglin Chen, Jimin Shen, Shengxin Zhao, Jing Kang, Wei Chu, Yaoyu Zhou, Binyuan Wang. "Formation and interdependence of disinfection byproducts during chlorination of natural organic matter in a conventional drinking water treatment plant", Chemosphere, 2020 Publication	<1%
12	Sifiso P. Sambo, Savia S. Marais, Titus A.M. Msagati, Bhekie B. Mamba, Thabo T.I. Nkambule. "Quantification of biodegradable natural organic matter (NOM) fractions and its impact on bacterial regrowth in a South African Water Treatment Plant", Journal of Water Process Engineering, 2020 Publication	<1%
13	Niels van Linden, Ran Shang, Georg Stockinger, Bas Heijman, Henri Spanjers. "Separation of natural organic matter and sodium chloride for salt recovery purposes in zero liquid discharge", Water Resources and Industry, 2020 Publication	<1%
14	"Applications of Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Drinking Water Treatment", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019 Publication	<1%

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		