

KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI UNIVERSITAS PEMBANGUNAN NASIONAL "VETERAN" JAWA TIMUR **UPT TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI DAN KOMUNIKASI** Jl. Raya Rungkut Madya Gunung Anyar Surabaya Telp.(031) 8793589 Laman: http://upttik.upnjatim.ac.id, Email: upttik@upnjatim.ac.id

SURAT KETERANGAN HASIL PEMERIKSAAN TINGKAT PLAGIARISME Nomor : 49/UN63/UPTTIK/I/2023

Yang bertanda-tangan di bawah ini,

Nama	: Mohamad Irwan Afandi, ST., MSc.
NI P3K	: 197607182021211003
Jabatan	: Kepala UPT TIK – UPN "Veteran" Jawa Timur

dengan ini menerangkan bahwa Penulis telah melakukan pemeriksaan tingkat kesamaan (plagiarisme) menggunakan *software* **Turnitin** secara mandiri terhadap dokumen dalam daftar di bawah ini:

Judul Karya Tulis	: Implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) in Cu's Chicken Crispy Production
	Process
Jenis Publikasi	: Jurnal
Penulis	: Ika Nawang Puspitawati, ST, MT
⊺ingkat Kesamaan (%)	: 24%

Demikian Surat Keterangan ini dibuat dengan sebenarnya, agar dapat digunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Surabaya, 17 Januari 2023 Kepala UPT TIK

Mohamad Irwan Afandi, ST, MSc. NI P3K 197607182021211003

6. Implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) in Cu's Chicken Crispy Production Process

by Ika Nawang Puspitawati

Submission date: 17-Jan-2023 09:03AM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1993863576 File name: 6._796-Article_Text-2454-1-10-20221124_1.pdf (300.26K) Word count: 3575 Character count: 18577 3rd International Conference Eco-Innovation in Science, Engineering, and Technology Volume 2022 http://dx.doi.org/10.11594/nstp.2022.2744



Conference Paper

10

Implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) in Cu's Chicken Crispy Production Process

A.R. Yelvia Sunarti*, Moch Akbar Munin Putra, Moh Nur Fuadzi, Erwan Adi Saputro, Ika Nawang Puspitawati

Chemical Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Jawa Timur, Surabaya 60294, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: E-mail: yelviasunarti@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Food safety issues are still an important issue in the food sector in Indo 9 sia and need special attention in food control programs. Therefore, it is nelessary to improve the quality and safety of the products produced by developing a food safety assurance system in the form of a Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP). HACCP is a food safety assurance system in the form of a systematic approach to identifying hazards and put in place control systems to prevent them. One of the important reasons for implementing the HACCP system in the food industry is because the ingredients used during the production process have the possibility of contamination that can harm consumers. Cull Chicken Crispy is a small industry that produces food. His party wants to implement a Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) management system to improve product quality assurance. This study aims to identify hazards related to the production aspects (raw materials, packaging, and processes) of Cu's Chicken Crispy and determine the CCP related to the production of Cu's Chicken Crispy. This study conducted a direct interview with the owner and made a direct visit to Mrs. Cu's food stall. In this study, it was found that there is a significant potential danger to chicken meat raw materials. At the stage of the production process that falls into the category of salting, draining and packaging refer to CCP.

Keywords: Food Safety, HACCP, Cu's Chicken Crispy, CCP

Introduction

The home industry has a very important role in efforts to improve the community's economy, in this case, the food home industry sector. The home industry is one of the activities engaged in the business sector but with equipment that is not commensurate with the existing equipment in large industries. The home industry has less sophisticated equipment, but it must also be noted that the home industry can also produce a type of goods and does not rule out the possibility of providing high-added value (Lamusa, 2015).

Mrs. Cu's Chicken Crispy is one of the MSMEs engaged in food processing and producing crispy chicken products, located in Gunung Anyar, Surabaya. The production process starting from the provision of raw materials to becoming crispy chicken products is carried out by Mrs. Cu alone so that the processing has the potential to cause errors that violate HACCP. Currently, there are many cases of disease spread in Indonesia caused by the spread of the virus through direct contact with sufferers or indirectly through contaminated objects around it. Food is one of the causes of the spread of the virus due to a lack of awareness of the hygiene and safety of the food consumed. This can happen because the food will enter the body directly and if it is not kept clean, the virus will more easily infect the body. One of them is crispy chicken products. Crispy chicken has enough animal protein content to meet human dietary needs.

Food safety issues are still an important issue in the food sector in Indonesia and need special attention in food control programs. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the quality and safety of

How to cite:

Sunarti, A. R. Y., Putra, A. M., Fuadzi, M. N., Saputro, E. A., & Puspitawati. (2022). Implementation of Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) in cu's chicken crispy production process. 3rd International Conference Eco-Innovation in Science, Engineering, and Technology. NST Proceedings. pages 297-304. doi: 10.11594/ nstp.2022.2744

3rd ICESET

the products produced by developing a food safety assurance system in the form of a Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP). HACCP is a food safety assurance system in the form of a systematic approach to identifying hazards and putting in place control systems to prevent them. One of the important reasons for implementing the HACCP system in the food industry is that the ingredients used during the production process have the possibility of contamination that can harm consumers (Citraresmi & Putri, 2019). Based on Surahman and Skafitri (2014), more than 90% of diseases are caused by microbiologica Contaminated food. The HACCP system is not a risk-free food safety assurance system but is designed to minimize the risk of food safety hazards. The HACCP system is also considered a management tool used to protect food supply chains and production processes against contamination by microbiological, chemical, and physical hazards. HACCP can be applied in the food production chain, starting from the main producers of food raw materials (agriculture), han 15 hg, processing, distribution, and marketing to consumers. Therefore, to overcome the problem of food safety, it is necessary to apply the HACCP system in the home industry. Like HACCP that we have applied to Cuanom crispy chicken.

Alin and Siddika (2014), have researched HACTP, the design of a food safety system for Fried Chicken plants on HACCP system. To decrease of food born diseases and long time health complications by unsafe meat products due to pathogens, and chemical and 4 hysical contaminants from Fried Chickenproduction, this research implements HACCP by prerequisite program, hazards, critical codrol points, preventive measures, critical limits, monitoring procedures, and corrective actions. The production process or redict chicken is also analyzed scrupulously for this HACCP model. HACCP systems are assessing hazards and building control systems that focus on prevention rather than relying mostly on final product testing (BSN, 1998). If this system is carried out continuously, it will reduce dependence on traditional methods such as inspection and testing of the final product (Mortimore & Wallace, 2013). This study aims to identify the dangers associated with the production aspects (raw materials, packaging, and process) of Cu's Chicken Crispy food stalls and determine the CCP about making Cu's Chicken Crispy.

Material and Methods

Date and Place

The research was conducted in March-May 2022 at Cu's Chicken Crispy which is located at Jalan Foodcourt Gunung Anyar, Surabaya.

Metl 2d

This study used a survey method by following the entire provess of making crispy chicken, from receiving raw materials to packaging the final product. This study conducted direct interviews with restaurant owners and made a direct visit to Cu's Chicken Crispy, located in Gunung Anyar Foodcourt.

Data analysis was carried out descriptively and qualitative by analyzing the application of HACCP. HACCP analysis was carried out by following the 7 principles of the HACCP system recommended by the Indonesian National Standard (1998) issued by BSN, including:

- Hazard analysis and prevention
- 2. Identification of Critical Control Points (CCP)
- 3. Set a critical limit (CP) for each CCP
- 4. Build a 14 P monitoring system
- 5. Define corrective actions
- 6. Develop verification procedures
- 7. Establish recording procedures (documenta 70n)

Hazard analysis is carried out by writing down all possible hazards in the raw materials and stages of the production process. The hazards that have been identified are then entered into a table containing the source of the hazard, the level of risk rand precautions. The degree of risk is determined based on the magnitude of the consequences and how often danger is likely to occur.

Each stage of the production process is analyzed taking into account the level of risk and based on the answers to questions from the IPP decision tree to determine which stage is the CCP or not.

Results and Discussion

This research was conducted at Cu's Crispy Chicken, which makes Crispy Chicken products. The ingredients needed to make the chicken crispy are chicken meat, water, and secret seasoning flour. Making crispy chicken begins with preparing the ingredients to be used. After that, the cleaned chicken meat is put in the secret seasoning flour. Then the cooking process until the crispy chicken is brownish yellow. after the cooking process, the crispy chicken is put in a styrofoam package. The crispy chicken that has been made, is served directly to customers in Gununganyar.

Ana sis of hazards and risks in the cu's crispy chicken industry

Hazard Identification and analysis includes the physical, chemical, and microbiological potential in raw materials and packaging used in the crispy chicken production process at Bu Cu's food stall. The analyzed ingredients are fresh chicken meat, secret seasoning flour, and water. The crispy chicken production process is carried out starting by preparing ingredients, salting chicken meat, making secret flour, chicken meat flour, cooking chicken meat, draining crispy chicken, and packing crispy chicken. Based on the analysis shows that a significant risk and danger is chicken Geat, which should be stored in the vicinity or the refrigerator. The results of the hazard analysis can be seen in table 1.

Mate-	Potential		k As- ment	Result	signifi- cant	Explanation / Rea- son / Evidence /	Control Mechanism	
rial	Hazards	S	РО	nesure	risks	Cause	dona or Meenamon	
	Physical (P)	3	2	6	Not	Inappropriate con- ditions for storage and distribution Contamination in	Approved suppliers	
Raw Chicken Meat	Chemical (C)	3	2	6	Not	the environment or chemicals that are passed on to chick- ens from feed or sanitary chemicals	Providing quality ani- mal feed and quality stores	
	Biology (VP)	4	3	12	Yes	Poor storage	Has a good storage container with the recommended tem perature Has a good storage container with the recommended tem perature	
	Biologists (SP)	4	3	12	Yes	Poor storage		
	Allergens (A)	4	3	12	Yes	Allergen ingredients	Allergen control and labeling programs	
	Radiation (R)	1	1	1	Not	Very rare	Radiation tests NA	
	Halal (H)	1	1	1	Not		NA	

Table 1. Hazard analysis, risk assessment, and meat control mechanisms

The type of danger found in chicken raw materials is usually dust and dirt that pollutes the surrounding environment as well as salmonella. This danger can be controlled by isolating the storage of chickens from the surroundings and regulating the temperature at a low level. It is necessary to do this to avoid various physical, chemical, and microbiological contaminations. For

3rd ICESET

the packaging process using styrofoam is the main packaging. These packages are potentially contaminated with the toxicity of migrating styrofoam into the food because they are reallyed by the product while it is still in high temperatures after the frying process. Choosing the right packaging and storing it in a clean and dry condition can prevent dust or other dirt from sticking to the packaging.

Ana 2sis of prerequisite programs in cu's chicken crispy food stall industry

Prerequisite programs are programs and practices that are put in place to safeguard the sanitary environment and minimize risks that pose food safety hazards, or it can be defined Prerequisite programs are programs and practices implemented to address the role of the production environme 2 in producing safe food products (Baş et al., 2006). Prerequisite programs in Cu's Chicken Crispy as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Prerequisite program at cu's chicken crispy food stall

1Personnel Hygiene (p)Not2Pest Control (p)Not3Foreign Materials Control Program (f)Not4Facility Location (f)Yes5Withdrawals and withdrawals (r)Yes6Rework Management (r)Not7Waste Management (w)Yes8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not23Food Defense (f)Yes	No	Prerequisite Programs	Yes/No
3Foreign Materials Control Program (f)Not4Facility Location (f)Yes5Withdrawals and withdrawals (r)Yes6Rework Management (r)Not7Waste Management (w)Yes8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	1	Personnel Hygiene (p)	Not
4Facility Location (f)Yes5Withdrawals and withdrawals (r)Yes6Rework Management (r)Not7Waste Management (w)Yes8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	2	Pest Control (p)	Not
5Withdrawals and withdrawals (r)Yes6Rework Management (r)Not7Waste Management (w)Yes8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	3	Foreign Materials Control Program (f)	Not
6Rework Management (r)Not7Waste Management (w)Yes8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	4	Facility Location (f)	Yes
7Waste Management (w)Yes8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	5	Withdrawals and withdrawals (r)	Yes
8Equipment Design (e)Not9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	6	Rework Management (r)	Not
9Supplier ApprovalNot10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	7	Waste Management (w)	Yes
10Transportation and Distribution Control (t)Not11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	8	Equipment Design (e)	Not
11Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)Yes12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	9	Supplier Approval	Not
12Allergen Control Program (a)Not13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	10	Transportation and Distribution Control (t)	Not
13Traceability (t)Yes14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	11	Cleaning and Sanitizing (c)	Yes
14Storage and Reception RM(s)Yes15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	12	Allergen Control Program (a)	Not
15Calibration (c)Not16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	13	Traceability (t)	Yes
16Utilities (u)Yes17Training (t)Not18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	14	Storage and Reception RM(s)	Yes
Training (t)Not17Training (t)Yes18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	15	Calibration (c)	Not
18Maintenance (m)Yes19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	16	Utilities (u)	Yes
19Biological Hazard Control Program (b)Not20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	17	Training (t)	Not
20Customer Communications (c)Yes21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	18	Maintenance (m)	Yes
21Layout (l)Yes22Chemical Control Program (c)Not	19	Biological Hazard Control Program (b)	Not
22 Chemical Control Program (c) Not	20	Customer Communications (c)	Yes
	21	Layout (l)	Yes
23 Food Defense (f) Yes	22	Chemical Control Program (c)	Not
	23	Food Defense (f)	Yes

Table 2 shows the prerequisite program at Cu's Chicken Crispy Food Stall. The high degree of danger of raw materials can be caused by the absence of programs of personal hygiene, and biological and chemical control in the production process. Personal Hygiene is not because the owner at the Cu's Chicken Crispy does not use headgear, chef uniform, trousers, and safety shoes. On food security at food stalls, mothers are given styrofoam to protect food from environmental contaminants while traveling.

2

Critical Control Point (CCP) in the material and process production of cu's chicken crispy analis

2 A Critical Control Point (CCP) is a point that must be properly controlled to ensure food safety. Critical Control Points can be determined after hazards at each stage of the process are identified at the hazard analysis stage. Any identified and significant hazards are classified as CCP or nonCCP using the 2CP determination chart. The insignificant dangers of hazard analysis need not proceed with CCP determination. Hazards that are not CCP will be analyzed and determined whether or not the 12 ll under the Operational Pre-Requisite Program (OPRP). Established critical boundaries should not be violated to ensure that the CCP effectively controls biological, physical, and chemical hazards (Sutanto & Widiawan, 2017). The analyzed ingredients are chicken meat, water, and secret seasoning flour. Based on the analysis shows that a significant 12 k and danger is chicken meat, in which the ingredients are stored in the vizinity or refrigerator. Critical control point (CCP) results in the Raw Material Acceptance Process as shown in Table 3.

Ingre- dient Name	Potential Hazards	Risk Assess- ment		Entire	Signifi- cant risk	cant son / Evidence /		17 Que stio n 1*	Que stio n 2*	Ques tion 3*	Ques tion 4*	PRP/OPR P (SPPs) or CCPs
Name		S	PO		HSK	Gause	nism		112	5	1	01 661 3
Chicke n meat	Physical (P)	3	2	6	Not	Inappropriate conditions for storage and distri- bution	Ap- proved suppliers and screeners	Y	Y	-	-	ССР
	Chemistry (C)	3	2	5	Not	Contamination in the environment or chemicals that are passed on to chickens from feed or sanitary chemicals	Providing quality animal feed and quality stores	Y	N	N	-	OPRP
	Biology (VP/SP)	4	3	7	Yes	Poor storage	Has a good storage container with the recom- mended tempera- ture	Y	Y	-	-	ССР

Table 3. Critical Control Points (CCP) in Raw Material of Cu's Chicken Crispy Analysis

Note:

Q1* : Do the ave a measure of control in this step for the identified hazards and controls on this step that are necessary for food safety?

- Q2* : Does this step eliminate or reduce the possibili **3** of harm occurring to an acceptable level?
- Q3* : Can the identified contamination occur beyond unacceptable levels?
- Q4* : Will the next step eliminate the identified hazards or reduce the probability of occurrence to an acceptable level?

Table 3 shows the determination of CCP on raw materials. The raw material belonging to the Category Of CCP is chicken meat. In chicken meat, potential physical and chemical hazards can be caused by conditions unsuitable for the storage and distribution of raw materials, while biological hazards can occur due to the growth of fungi and bacteria due to storage temperatures of less than 5°C. This suggests that chicken meat has a significant potential danger in the production process.

At this stage of the pia manufacturing process, <mark>there are several</mark> kinds of physical, chemical, and biological hazards found. Determination of CCP at the production process stage is important

3rd ICESET

to determine critical points so that <mark>control</mark> efforts <mark>can be</mark> made <mark>to reduce potential</mark> hazards. <mark>Critical control points (CCP) in the Production Process</mark> as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Critical Control Points (CCP) in the production process of cu's chicken crispy analysis

Process Name	Potential Hazards		assess- ent	En- tire	Signifi- cant risk	Explanation / Reason / Evidence / Cause	Control Mechanism	Que stio n 1*	Ques tion 2*	Ques tion 3*	Ques tion 4*	PRP/OP RP (SPPs) or CCPs
		S	PO			Cause						of CCFS
	Physical (P)	2	2	4	Not	Improper storage of raw materi- als Contami-	Proper stor- age of raw materials	Y	N	N	-	OPRP
Marina- tion	Chemistry (C)	2	3	5	Not	nated by the surrounding environ- ment	It is better to keep	Y	Y			ССР
	Biology (VP/SP)	3	3	6	Not	Contami- nated by the surrounding environ- ment Contami-	It is better to keep	Y	N	N	-	OPRP
	Physical (P)	2	2	4	Not	nated by the surrounding environ- ment	It is better to keep	Y	N	N	-	OPRP
Layering	Chemistry (C)	2	3	5	Not		NA	N	-	-	-	PRP
	Biology (VP/SP)	2	2	4	Not	The use of unhygienic tools	Clean the ap- pliance be- fore use	Y	N	N	-	OPRP
	Physical (P)	3	4	7	Yes	Contami- nated by the surrounding environ- ment	Clean the ap- pliance be- fore use	Y	N	N	-	ССР
Frying	Chemistry (C)	2	2	4	Not	-	NA	N	-	-	-	PRP
	Biology (VP/SP)	2	2	4	Not	-	NA	N			-	PRP
	Physical (P)	2	3	5	Not	Contami- nated by the surrounding environ- ment	Clean the ap- pliance be- fore use	Y	Y	-	-	OPRP
Drying	Chemistry (C)	2	2	4	Not	-	NA	N	-	-	-	PRP
	Biology (VP/SP)	2	2	4	Not	-	NA	N	-		-	PRP
To b	e continue	d										

302

3rd ICESET

	Physical (P)	3	1	4	Not	Contami- nated by packaging	NA	Y	N	N		OPRP
Packag- ing	Chemistry (C)	3	3	6	Not	Impurities and resi- dues during manufac- ture	Determine the quality of plastic	N	-	-	-	ССР
	Biology (VP/SP)	1	1	2	Not		NA	N				PRP

Note:

Q1* : Do the ave a measure of control in this step for the identified hazards and controls on this step that are necessary for food safety?

Q2* : Does this step eliminate or reduce the possibili 5 of harm occurring to an acceptable level?

Q3* : Can the identified contamination occur beyond unacceptable levels?

Q4* : Will the next step eliminate the identified hazards or reduce the probability of occurrence to an acceptable level?

Table 4 shows the determination of CCP at the stage of the production process that has potential hazard hazards that may arise during the process, including physical, chemical, and biological hazards. Some of the stages of the production process that fall into the CCP category are marinating, frying, and packaging. In marination, potential biological and chemical hazards are caused by the presence of toxins of pathogenic bacteria producted by fungi in food raw materials. While the potential physical hazard at the marination stage is caused by the presence of gravel, dirt, dust, etc. at the frying stage, it can be classified as CCP because this process is specifically designed to reduce the potential hazards contained in the dough using product maturation through heating. In the packaging process, the potential dangers found are in the form of bacterial contamination and dirt that cannot be removed/reduced in the next process, because this process is the final stage of the production process. Other stages of the production process are not included in the CCP, since they can be minimized, so the dangers that can be caused are not very significant

Conclusion

Based on research, was obtained from various aspects of making Cu's Chicken Crispy (raw materials, production processes, and packaging) in Cu's Chicken Crispy food 13 all. Three types of potential hazards in physical, chemical, and biological terms in HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points). The analysis showed that the danger in the raw material is chicken meat. Then, in the prerequisite program, it can be seen that Cu's Chicken Crispy does not have control mechanisms for personal hygiene, pest regulation, rework management, allergen control programs, equipment design, calibration, training, biological hazard control programs, chemical control programs and transportation and distribution control. Whereas in the production process, there are several necessary stages for the CCP category: marinating, frying, and packaging. Cu's Chicken Crispy food stall industry must control the mechanisms of personal hygiene and biological and chemical programs in the production process of Cu's Chicken Crispy. So that the process of receiving raw materials for the manufacture of products does not harm consumers.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the Lecturers of Chemical Engineering Cu's Chicken Crispy and UPNVJT for their guidance and consultation during the implementation of activities and the preparation of manuscripts.

303

References

Alin, K., & Siddika, A. (2014). Design of food safety system for fried chicken plant based on HACCP system. Africa Development and Resources Research Institute (ADRRI) Journals. 8(2), 114-119. doi: https://doi.org/10.55058/adrrij.v8i8.123
Baş, M., Ersun, A. Ş., & Kivanç, G. (2006). Implementation of HACCP and prerequisite programs in food businesses in Turkey. Food

Control, 17(2), 118-126. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2004.09.010. Citraresmi, A. D. P., & Putri, F. P. (2019). Penerapan Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) pada proses produksi wafer

roll. Jurnal Teknologi & Industri Hasil Pertanian, 24(1), 1. doi:10.23960/jtihp.v24i1.1-14.

Lamusa, A. (2015). Analysis of pia cake value added at an-nur home industry in Palu. Agrotekbis. 3(6), 739-747.

Mortimore, S., Wallace, C. (2013). HACCP practical approach. New York: Spring

National Standardization Agency (BSN). (1998). Indonesian National Standard (SNI) 01-4852-1998: Hazard Analysis and Critical Point

Kandai Uzatdar Andrysts and Challen Viersen, 1990; Indonesian National Standard (SMI) 61-452-1990; Indonesian and Challen Point Control System (HACCP) and Guidelines for Its Application. Jakarta : BSN
 Surahman, D.N. and Ekafitri, R. (2014). Kajian HACCP (Hazard Analysis And Critical Control Point) pengolahan jambu biji di pilot plant sari buah UPT. B2PTTG – LIPI Subang. Jurnal Agritech, 34(03), 266. doi:10.22146/agritech.9454.
 Sutanto, V. G. D., & Widiawan, K. (2017). Perancangan sistem HACCP di PT. X studi kasus pakan puyuh. Jurnal Titra, 5(2), 143–150.

304

6. Implementation of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) in Cu's Chicken Crispy Production Process

ORIGINALITY REPORT

	4% ARITY INDEX	9% INTERNET SOURCES	17% PUBLICATIONS	8% STUDENT PA	PERS
PRIMAR 1	Dwi Purv Dasilva, Comprel and Criti Semarar	an Suherman, M vati, Farida Diya Omar Ali Moha nensive Review cal Point (HACC ng", IOP Confere and Engineering	ah Hapsari, Te mmed. "A on Hazard Ar P): A Case of ence Series: N	eodora nalysis Lumpia	8%
2	Erwan A Edahwat Bibaroq. and Criti Bakery P	ang Puspitawati di Saputro, Sup i, Vika Dwi Wula "Implementatio cal Control Poir Production Proc ery", MATEC We	rihatin, Luluk andari, Bayu A on of Hazard nt (HACCP) on ess in Bunga	Aji Analysis Bread Mawar	6%
3	Submitte Malaya-\	ed to Internatio Wales	nal University	v of	2%

Student Paper

4	journals.adrri.org	2%
5	Submitted to Leeds Beckett University Student Paper	1 %
6	docplayer.net Internet Source	1%
7	Ellia Kristiningrum, Ike Permatasari. "Development of food safety design for smes yogurt using HACCP", AIP Publishing, 2020 Publication	1 %
8	Submitted to Dolph Business School Student Paper	1 %
9	www.grafiati.com	1 %
10	Submitted to University of Wales Institute, Cardiff Student Paper	<1%
11	repository.ub.ac.id	<1%
12	Submitted to MAHSA University Student Paper	<1%
13	Submitted to Middle East Technical University Student Paper	<1%
14	"The Microbiology of Safe Food", Wiley, 2000 Publication	<1%

15	Midhat Jašić, Dejana Dimitrijević. "Chapter 17 Possibilities of Risk Quantification in the System of Save-for-Health Food Production", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2011 Publication	<1%
16	chinesefoodsafety.com	<1%
17	repositorio.ucundinamarca.edu.co	<1%
18	jurnal.fp.unila.ac.id	<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		